

Joint Crime and Disorder Overview and Scrutiny Committee (Basingstoke/Hart/Rushmoor)

Wednesday, 29th June, 2022, at 6.30 pm in the Basingstoke and Deane Borough
Council Offices

A G E N D A & M I N U T E S

1. **Agenda and Minutes (Pages 1 - 72)**

Please see attached for agenda details and minutes of the meeting.

Councillors: Mrs. D.B. Bedford, K. Dibble and M.D. Smith

Officers:

This page is intentionally left blank



Basingstoke
and Deane

Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council

Civic Offices, London Road,
Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 4AH

www.basingstoke.gov.uk | 01256 844844

customer.service@basingstoke.gov.uk

Follow us on [@BasingstokeGov](https://twitter.com/BasingstokeGov)

To: All Members of the Crime and Disorder Joint Scrutiny Committee

Councillor L Edwards (BDBC)
Councillor M Howard-Sorrell (BDBC)
Councillor S Jeans (BDBC)
Councillor M Butcher (Hart DC)
Councillor G Butler (Hart DC)
Councillor P Wildsmith (Hart DC)
Councillor D Bedford (Rushmoor BC)
Councillor K Dibble (Rushmoor BC)
Councillor M Smith (Rushmoor BC)

Dear Councillor

Crime and Disorder Joint Scrutiny Committee Wednesday, 29 June 2022

Your attendance is requested at a meeting of the Crime and Disorder Joint Scrutiny Committee on:

Date: Wednesday, 29 June 2022
Time: 6.30 pm
Place: Council Chamber - Deanes

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R O'Keefe'.

Russell O'Keefe
Chief Executive

For more information please contact the Democratic Services team: 01256 844844
Or email: democratic.services@basingstoke.gov.uk
Visit: www.basingstoke.gov.uk/committeemeetings

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SCHEME

Members of the public are invited to address the committee on all items presented at a committee meeting. Public speaking on these items will take place at the same time that the item is considered.

If members of the public wish to address the meeting they should notify Democratic Services prior to the meeting.

The public participation scheme is available to access through the council's website - www.basingstoke.gov.uk/participation

COMMITTEE PAPERS

If you need this information in a different format, such as large print, please contact Democratic Services.

Alternatively all documents associated with this agenda can be accessed through the Council's website on <http://www.basingstoke.gov.uk/committeemeetings>

WEBCASTING

The open proceedings of the meeting will be webcast live and can be viewed through the Borough Council's website at <https://www.basingstoke.gov.uk/webcast>

Webcasts of previous meetings can be viewed through the following link <https://www.youtube.com/user/BasingstokeGov>

AGENDA FOR THE CRIME AND DISORDER JOINT SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Members are encouraged to obtain any points of clarification on the reports on the Agenda in advance of the meeting.

Members of the public will be invited to speak at the relevant item

1. APPOINTMENT OF CHAIR

To appoint a Chair of the Crime and Disorder Joint Scrutiny Committee for the 2022/23 municipal year.

2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

4. URGENT MATTERS

To consider any items of business, other than those shown on this agenda and which, by reason of special circumstances to be stated at the meeting, in the opinion of the Chair, should be considered at the meeting as a matter of urgency.

5. MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 29 JUNE 2021

5 - 10

The Chair will move that the minutes of the meeting hosted by Hart District Council be signed as a correct record. The only part of the minutes that can be discussed is their accuracy.

**6. PERFORMANCE REVIEW OF SAFER NORTH HAMPSHIRE
STRATEGIC COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP 2021-2022**

11 - 64

This report presents an overview of the performance of the Strategic Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership. It is presented for consideration by the Crime and Disorder Joint Scrutiny Committee, comprising members from Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council, Hart District Council and Rushmoor Borough Council, which was set up in accordance with section 19 of the Police and Justice Act 2006.

7. EXCLUSION OF PRESS AND PUBLIC

To consider whether, in view of the nature of the remaining items on the agenda, any of them are likely to involve the disclosure of exempt or confidential information within the terms of Schedule 12A of the

8. CONFIDENTIAL/EXEMPT ITEMS FOR INFORMATION

JOINT CRIME AND DISORDER COMMITTEE

Date and Time: Tuesday 29 June 2021 at 7.00 pm

Place: Council Chamber

Present:

Councillors Butler (substitute for Cllr Axam), Butcher (Hart DC)
Councillors Edwards, Miller, Howard-Sorrell, (Basingstoke & Deane BC)
Councillors Masterson, Dibble (arrived 7.12pm), Jeffers (Rushmoor BC)

In attendance:

Cllr Simon Bound	Chair of the Safer North Hampshire Strategic Community Safety Partnership (CSP) and Deputy Leader Basingstoke BC
Cllr Stuart Bailey	Cabinet Member for Community Services (Hart DC)
Cllr Steve Forster	Cllr for Fleet West (Hart DC), Fleet Town (Hampshire CC)
Rachael Wilkinson	Community Safety Manager (Hart DC)
Debbie Mason	Community Safety Team Leader (Basingstoke & Deane BC)
Daniel White	Community Support Manager (Basingstoke & Deane BC)
David Lipscombe	Senior Community Safety Officer (Rushmoor BC)
James Knight	Place Protection Manager (Rushmoor BC)
John Halfacre	District Commander - Hart & Rushmoor, Hampshire Constabulary
Matthew Reeves	District Commander - Basingstoke, Hampshire Constabulary
Ryan Thurman	Group Commander for North Hampshire, Hampshire Fire and Rescue
Nick Burley	Aldershot Garrison Commander
Lee Rome	Committee Services (Hart DC)

1 APPOINTMENT OF CHAIRMAN

Councillor Miller was elected as Chair for the 2021/22 municipal year.

2 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS

Apologies were received from:

Kirsty Jenkins	Head of Community Services (Hart DC)
Simon Bryant	Director of Public Health (Hampshire CC)
Alice Date Team	Senior Probation Officer, Aldershot Offender Management

Joanne Bridgeman Probation

Steve Manley North East Hampshire and Farnham Clinical Commissioning Group

Jennifer Lovegrove Hart Inspector

Cllr Chris Axam Hart DC

Alexandra Jones Team Manager N.W. Team, Hampshire Youth Offending Service

3 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

4 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes of the meeting of 21 September 2020 hosted by Rushmoor Borough Council were confirmed as a correct record.

5 URGENT MATTERS

There were no urgent matters.

6 OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY OF SAFER NORTH HAMPSHIRE STRATEGIC COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP 2020-21

The committee considered the report which provided an overview of the performance of the Safer North Hampshire Strategic Community Safety Partnership.

The Chairman asked for Community Safety Partners who attended the meeting to introduce themselves to other members present to increase understanding.

Members were reminded of the Community Safety Partnership Plan 2020-23 and asked to relate their discussions and comments to the achievement of the aims set out in the plan.

The aims of the plan were:

Serious Violence (including knife/blade offences and robbery): Work with partners and the Violence Reduction Unit to understand root causes driving knife and blade incidents locally and establish a coordinated response.

Youth related antisocial behaviour: Identify opportunities for early intervention with young people committing antisocial behaviour to reduce number going on to commit further high harm offences.

Crimes with historically low levels of reporting: Offences with historically low levels of reporting should be looked at as a priority including hate crime, domestic abuse, sexual offences, child abuse and fraud. Consideration should be given to any barriers to reporting, why these exist and how to remove them.

Members were asked to discuss work in relation to the plan by area.

HAMPSHIRE CONSTABULARY - BASINGSTOKE AND DEANE

The District Commander for Basingstoke discussed the priorities of the Hampshire Constabulary in the Basingstoke and Deane Council area. Constabulary priorities were closely linked to the CSP plan with particular focus on youth violence, domestic abuse and drug misuse/county lines. Other priorities included hate crime, rural crime, a focus on public confidence in policing and knife/blade offences as set out in the 3-year plan.

The work of the Constabulary with the CSP on a gang injunction was highlighted as a success of partnership working that had resulted in greater control over the behaviour and activities of those involved. Joint working in this area had also led to wider prevention work with other agencies such as the Willow Team and St. Giles' Trust.

BASINGSTOKE AND DEANE BOROUGH COUNCIL

The officer from Basingstoke BC reflected on the learning gained from working with CSP partners, and how the relationships had matured. Changes to the administration of the CSP in the last year had meant that Community Safety was now managed by individual teams at each Council but continued joint working had worked well. The Covid pandemic had an impact upon processes, but they had been successfully overcome.

Basingstoke BC worked with partners on antisocial behaviour with data analysts helping to set priorities with partners, worked on an antisocial behaviour panel and a referral pathway for cases to be reviewed. Local panel improvements had resulted in better interventions such as acceptable behaviour contracts, community protection notices and injunctions in partnership with CSP partners.

Domestic abuse partnership work had increased through the reinvigorated local forum and a domestic homicide review was ongoing.

HAMPSHIRE CONSTABULARY - HART AND RUSHMOOR

The District Commander for Hart and Rushmoor discussed the priorities of the Hampshire Constabulary in the Hart District Council and Rushmoor Borough areas, as his command covered both.

Constabulary priorities were closely linked to the CSP plan with particular focus on drug related harm and associated violence, organised rural crime, domestic

abuse and improving services to victims and 'hidden vulnerabilities' such as hate crimes and child exploitation. A focus on the public relationship with the police force had also been a priority.

'Fortress' meetings with key CSP partners had been conducted focusing on high harm areas with excellent information sharing that had positively impacted outcomes, and monthly tactical meetings with partners had advanced this further.

HART DISTRICT COUNCIL

The officer from Hart DC stated that strong partnership relations after splitting the community safety team into their respective Councils had seen continued cooperation working well. The monthly tactical meetings had brought in more local partners such as Neighbourhood Watch and has improved outcomes further.

The Vulnerabilities Operational Group (VOG) meeting chaired in collaboration with Rushmoor BC had been very positive and helped to break cycles of vulnerability in various cohorts. The schools programme was planned to restart next year with focus being on current needs, previous programmes had focused on topics such as cybercrime, rail safety, exploitation and health topics. Childrens Services led Op MET (Missing Exploited Trafficked) meetings were also being attended to pick up some linked partnership and early intervention work where identified.

Work on awareness raising around Safeguarding had been undertaken and work on engagement on low reported crimes in partnership with the police is ongoing. Commissioned youth diversion work across the District has also been supported.

RUSHMOOR BOROUGH COUNCIL

The officer from Rushmoor BC discussed how consistent work across the North Hampshire area meant that many activities in Rushmoor were consistent with those mentioned in the other areas. Although the Community Safety Team had been separated into the 3 council areas joint working continued to be successful due to the strong skills and experience carried over from the shared team and continued close working.

The challenges of working from home during the Covid pandemic had been successfully overcome. Challenges in Rushmoor changed during the pandemic with more 'neighbour issues' and cannabis related problems. A cannabis warning system was developed in partnership with the police and environmental health colleagues. Antisocial behaviour incidents were increasing as lockdown restrictions end, in parks and 'car meet' events, and legal options are being explored.

The Vulnerabilities Operational Group (VOG) had been successful and brought in new partners such as mental health and children's services along with usual

CSP partners. The importance of the monthly tactical meetings with the police were highlighted.

Youth provision would be an ongoing focus in Rushmoor, with a focus on improving youth work and advice in the area and a proper youth organisation being established. Work had been initiated with Aldershot Football Club to help support identified young people at risk.

ALDERSHOT GARRISON

An update would be given at the next meeting as the representative at the meeting was new in post.

HAMPSHIRE FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICE

The service has been supporting CSP partners in their aims, with a specific focus on early intervention. The service runs school education programmes in the areas of fire safety and road safety and is a delivery partner for The Prince's Trust.

A fire cadet scheme has been set up at Rushmoor helping young people contribute more to their communities and 'safe and well' visits to homes continue with a focus on fire safety, health and wellbeing and home security.

The arson investigation team continued to work well with the police and is one of the top performing teams in the country. Targeted community safety campaigns continue to be run.

NORTH HAMPSHIRE CCG AND NORTH EAST HAMPSHIRE AND FARNHAM CCG

No representatives were present at the meeting.

NATIONAL PROBATION SERVICE

No representatives were present at the meeting.

Councillors asked about response rates in respect to 'low level' crime. Reporting of these crimes was encouraged as the data gained was vital in developing strategies to combat such offences. It was noted that officer numbers are increasing, and more time would be spent on following up in these areas in future.

Joint communication strategies were improving all the time and Community Safety Teams assist in areas of need and can work collaboratively with Councillors.

Councillors also noted the need to revisit how partnerships work after the ending of the Covid lockdown, and the importance of residents seeing the impact on the

ground. Councillors were recognised as the link between the CSP and the public.

7 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE MEETINGS

The Chairman summarised the discussions at the meeting.

The statutory requirement for the meeting is that it is held yearly and holding the meeting more regularly than this would be considered. The next meeting would be held at the same time next year unless a need arose for it to be sooner.

RESOLVED:

That the committee is satisfied with the performance of the Strategic CSP in relation to the discharge of its crime and disorder functions for 2019/20.

That the comments of the committee be considered by the respective councils.

The meeting closed at 8.43 pm

Report to Crime and Disorder Joint Scrutiny Committee for Safer North Hampshire (Basingstoke and Deane, Hart and Rushmoor)	June 2022
---	------------------

Subject:	Performance Review of Safer North Hampshire Strategic Community Safety Partnership 2021-2022
Status:	Routine Matter for Information
Report ref:	
Ward(s):	All
Key Decision:	No
Key Decision/Ref:	
Report of:	Strategic Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership
Contact:	CLlr Simon Bound (BDBC) – Chair of the CSP
Appendices:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Chair's Report 2. Partnership Plan 2020 - 23 3. Community Safety Partnership membership
Papers relied on to produce this report:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Partnership Plan 2020 – 23 2. Strategic Community Safety Partnership Chair's Report

1 **Executive Summary**

- 1.1 The attached report presents an overview of the performance of the Strategic Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership. It is presented for consideration by the Crime and Disorder Joint Scrutiny Committee, comprising members from Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council, Hart District Council and Rushmoor Borough Council, which was set up in accordance with section 19 of the Police and Justice Act 2006.

2 **Recommendation**

- 2.1 It is recommended that:
- 2.1.1 the Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee reviews the performance of the Strategic CSP in relation to the discharge of its statutory functions as defined by section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, and
 - 2.1.2 the Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee members summarise the findings and any recommendations of the annual meeting for the respective councils to consider at their own Overview and Scrutiny panels.

PRIORITIES, IMPACTS AND RISKS

Contribution to Council Priorities

This report accords to the relevant Council Plan priorities as follows:

- Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council Plan 2020 - 24: Improving Safety
- Hart District Council Corporate Plan 2017 - 22: work with partners to keep Hart clean, green and safe
- Rushmoor Borough Council Business Plan 2020 - 23: Work with our partners to help people feel safe

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Term	Definition
CSP	Community Safety Partnership
SNH	Safer North Hampshire
ASB	Antisocial behaviour

MAIN CONSIDERATIONS

3 Background

- 3.1 The Safer North Hampshire Strategic Community Safety Partnership (hereinafter referred to as the CSP) was established in March 2015 following the merger of 3 separate CSPs operating in Basingstoke and Deane, Hart and Rushmoor. The requirements of the Police and Justice Act 2006 include an obligation for every local authority to have 'a crime and disorder committee with power to a) review or scrutinise decisions made, or other actions taken, in connection with the discharge by the responsible authorities and of their crime and disorder functions; b) to make reports or recommendations to the local authority with respect to the discharge of those functions'.
- 3.2 Where CSPs operate beyond the boundary of just one local authority, the legislation requires that the local authorities establish a joint overview and scrutiny committee to discharge the duties under the Police and Justice Act 2006 with regard to the decisions and functions of the one CSP.
- 3.3 The Chair of the CSP, members representing the statutory bodies during 2021-22, and representatives from each Council's community safety team be present at the meeting, to respond to questions from the committee in relation to the Partnership Plan submitted as Appendix 2. In addition to this the work of partners has been reflected in individual templates attached as Appendix 3. It should be noted that not all partners have been able to submit responses or provide representatives at the meeting. This is largely due to changes in organisational structures which have meant that identifying a representative is still taking place. Issues that will be addressed moving forward.
- 3.4 The committee is invited to consider the activity undertaken by the CSP as part of the Partnership Plan 2020 - 23 in relation to discharging its crime and

disorder obligations for 2021-22 and summarise its findings for the respective councils.

4 Purpose and activities of the Safer North Hampshire Strategic Community Safety Partnership

4.1 The Safer North Hampshire Strategic CSP seeks to ensure strategic commitment and joint working to achieve reductions in crime and the fear of crime. The terms of reference were updated in July 2021. These are attached at Appendix 4. The purpose of the partnership is to promote and exercise a collective responsibility within the partnership and to evidence a strategic approach towards identified priorities with an emphasis on reducing crime, disorder, antisocial behaviour and its associated impact on those within the geographical area of the Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership.

The key activities of the strategic CSP are:

- a) To ensure commitment and engagement to the CSP from statutory and non-statutory agencies, ensuring delivery at an operational level in line with the Strategic Assessment and Partnership priorities.
- b) To accept the findings and adopt the annual Strategic Assessment which informs the overarching strategic objectives for community safety.
- c) To receive and acknowledge the findings of the 6-month review of the Strategic Assessment priorities to ensure that they are still the same and resources are being directed appropriately.
- d) To agree the Partnership Plan developed which will drive project and programme delivery across the Safer North Hampshire area over the coming three-year period;
- e) To manage the performance of approved activities and endorse strategic action required to tackle barriers to success where identified; and
- f) To identify synergies between the objectives identified in the Police and Crime Plan and the CSP priorities.

4.2 The priorities for 2020-23, which were informed by the issues identified in the Strategic Assessment 2020-21, were:

- Serious violence, including knife/blade offences and robbery.
- Anti-Social Behaviour, including youth related incidents and neighbour nuisance.
- Crimes with historically low levels of reporting

4.3 The Police and Crime Plan 2016-21 priorities are:

- Championing Community Needs
- Reduce Reoffending
- Partnerships
- Provide operationally effective policing

5 Corporate Implications

5.1 Financial Implications

- 5.1.1 As of October 2020, the shared community safety team ceased with each authority providing their own local community safety staff. There remain several shared areas of work, including administering of the CSP.
- 5.1.2 Each of the three local authorities contributes to the costs of a shared analyst who produces specific documents in order to direct the work of the individual community safety teams. This work also informs the direction of the wider partnership. Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council hold responsibility for management of this role, with financial contributions from Hart District Council and Rushmoor Borough Council.

5.2 Risk Issues

- 5.2.1 The CSP and its constituent partners constantly assess risk in the consideration of priorities and the delivery of activities – for example, there are judgements to be made when weighing up the obligations relating to information sharing against the need for data protection. Ultimately decisions are made in accordance with relevant legislation and guidance.

5.3 HR Issues

- 5.4 None.

5.5 Equalities

- 5.5.1 Public authorities have a Public Sector Equalities Duty under the Equality Act 2010 to consider and address equality issues in all their functions, insofar as is relevant and proportionate.
- 5.5.2 The work of the CSP seeks to mitigate any adverse impact on any of the protected characteristic groups, particularly those of vulnerable people and both victims and perpetrators of crime and anti-social behaviour. This work supports the main aims of the general duty to: promote equality, eliminate discrimination and foster good relations.

5.6 Legal Implications

- 5.6.1 Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 requires that all local authorities have a duty to consider crime and disorder implications.
- 5.6.2 There is a statutory requirement for a CSP covering each local authority area which has been fulfilled by having one CSP for North Hampshire.
- 5.6.3 Section 19 of the Police and Justice Act 2006 requires that every local authority has a committee with power to review or scrutinise decisions made, or other action taken, in connection with the discharge by the responsible authorities of their crime and disorder functions and to make reports or recommendations to the local authority with respect to the discharge of those functions.

5.7 Any Other Implications

- 5.7.1 The work of the CSP contributes to health and wellbeing outcomes through improved joint working to support vulnerable people, particularly with regard to people who are homeless or are experiencing mental health issues. Safeguarding of individuals is a key consideration of this. Community safety initiatives regarding environmental issues also help to improve the way people feel about living in their local area.

6 Communication and Consultation

- 6.1 There are a number of campaigns scheduled during the year to increase awareness of risks and how to remain safe as well as regular local press releases on relevant issues.
- 6.2 Safer North Hampshire has a digital presence in the form of; website, Facebook and Twitter to share any relevant partnership press releases and information.
- 6.3 As of October 2020, and the cessation of the shared community safety team, press is managed at a local level unless it relates to a Community Safety Partnership matter.

7 Conclusion

- 7.1 The Safer North Hampshire CSP continue to deliver against their statutory duty as defined in the Crime and Disorder Act 1998. However, the strategic partnership continually seek improvements and make every effort to ensure that performance and delivery are the best they can be.

Chair's Report for Overview and Scrutiny 26/06/2022

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Hart, Rushmoor and Basingstoke and Deane Councils began working together to deliver community safety under the banner of Safer North Hampshire in 2012. Following a review of the service, the partnership formally merged in March 2015.

1.2 The Joint CSP sits across all three areas to deliver its statutory duties in relation to Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998. This states that it is the duty of each authority to do all that it reasonably can in partnership to prevent,

- a) Crime and disorder in its area
- b) The misuse of drugs, alcohol and other substances in its areas
- c) Re-offending in its area

1.3 As set out in the terms of reference, the Joint CSP must;

- Undertake an annual Strategic Assessment to inform the overarching strategic objectives for community safety
- Undertake reviews of the Strategic Assessment priorities to ensure that they are still the same and resources are being directed appropriately
- Work in partnership to develop a Partnership Plan which will drive project and programme delivery across the Safer North Hampshire area over the coming year
- Deliver a robust response to Anti-Social Behaviour
- Manage the performance of approved activities and endorse strategic action required to tackle barriers to success where identified
- Work alongside the objectives identified in the Police and Crime Plan.

1.4 The purpose of this Overview and Scrutiny Committee is:

- To scrutinise decisions made or other action taken, in connection with the discharge of the crime and disorder functions by the Joint CSP
- To monitor and review the performance of the Joint CSP
- To make reports or recommendations to the Local Authorities and Joint CSP with respect to the discharge of the crime and disorder functions
- To review the Partnership Plan 2020 - 23
- The committee shall prepare an annual report for the Councils

2.0 OVERVIEW AND TRENDS

- 2.1 The role of the Joint CSP is to adopt the annual Strategic Assessment. However, it is recognised that the data sets used to inform the process are owned by the various organisations who are individually held to account. That said, an overview of crime and ASB trends for the year 2021/22 year being scrutinised is appropriate to set the scene.
- 2.2 Much like the previous year, the crime reporting year 2021/22 has been affected by the Coronavirus Pandemic with periods of restrictions on working and socialising, particularly during the first six months, April to September.
- 2.3 As such, it is very difficult to compare 2021/22 to previous years. Overall, crime levels have returned to pre pandemic levels with some types experiencing reductions and others increasing. While Police and partner agencies have continued to work tirelessly to make communities safer, priorities and ways of working shifted during the pandemic with enforcing restrictions taking up a large amount of resource and this will have undoubtedly impacted crime and anti-social behaviour figures.
- 2.4 Across Safer North Hampshire 24,643 crimes were recorded or 63.9 crimes per 1,000 population. This figure represents a 21% (n4,228) increase on the previous year when 20,415 crimes were recorded but a 3% reduction compared to 2019/20 (pre-pandemic).
- 2.5 The Strategic Assessment has identified areas where crime and antisocial behaviour has risen. It seeks to examine whether this is a result of the pandemic or whether it is a longer-term trend which needs to be addressed by the partnership going forward.
- 2.6 The priorities identified are serious violence, anti-social behaviour and crimes with historically low levels of reporting.

3.0 ACHIEVEMENTS

- 3.1 The Joint CSP is continues to look for ways to develop and improve. Over the past year partners have worked collaboratively to focus on the identified priorities.
- 3.2 As of 2021/22 the CSP sought to create a three-yearly Partnership Plan, with an annual light touch review in order to allow longer term more focused goals. Work to review the priorities is currently taking place.

- 3.3 In October 2020 the shared community safety team ceased with the officer function returning to each individual authority. Each authority has successfully recruited to the teams. Internal processes have been set up and work continues on these. Whilst the main bulk of work is carried out at each council individually, there are regular meetings between officers from each authority in order to coordinate the work of the CSP and share best practice.
- 3.4 Of note peer to peer support has been provided with Domestic Homicide Review notifications in Basingstoke and Rushmoor.
- 3.5 Reciprocal support arrangements are in place for community triggers. This allows independent chairs from each local authority to be utilised and ensure transparency and consistency. Triggers have been raised in all 3 areas and work is ongoing with the police and PCC to improve the process for those wishing to use the mechanism.
- 3.6 Officers attend Hampshire wide forums to ensure that the CSP is represented and updated. This includes the ASB Taskforce and it is hoped that this will strengthen links with the PCC in the North Hampshire Area.
- 3.7 Following Hampshire Police along with key partners securing a gang injunction against the Basingstoke Street Gang, work has continued to monitor and take enforcement action where breaches have occurred. The wider CSP recognised learning points and best practice. Work has begun to identify at risk groups and prevent other individuals from becoming involved serious violence and exploitation across the wider area. This work is resource intensive for all partners.
- 3.8 Engagement with the Hampshire Violence Reduction Unit will continue to support and guide the CSP. This will be key to the serious violence priorities.
- 3.9 Basingstoke has successfully implemented a Public Space Protection Order to tackle alcohol related nuisance in the town centre and surrounding areas. Rushmoor are also in the process of introducing a PSPO.
- 3.10 Covid-19 continued to have a significant impact on all partners during 2021/22 and required further adaptation of key working practices as well as partners ensuring continued shared effort to tackle priorities. Many services have continued to be remote and online. The increased use of technology including Teams has allowed the CSP to continue to function and has had positive cost and time saving implications. As mentioned at the start of the report organisations are undergoing restructures and therefore identifying individuals with both capacity and responsibility to participate is a challenge. Details of the work done can be found in templates supplied in Appendix 3.

4.0 MOVING FORWARD

- 4.1 The Joint CSP will work to improve member knowledge and understanding and improve accountability through attendance, actions and tasking. It is recognised that there is a positive appetite for partnership working, however, the pressures on resources are significant and we must understand the gaps and how these impacts on timescales and outcomes. It should also be noted that the CSP is a partnership and not a resource.
- 4.2 Further engagement of non-statutory partners will be actively encouraged to further strengthen the partnership work to reduce crime and disorder.
- 4.3 The Joint CSP will continue to engage significantly with the Hampshire Violence Reduction Unit in the coming year in order to assist in addressing significant issues around serious violence, in particular in Basingstoke and Deane and Rushmoor boroughs. The Police Crime, Sentencing and Courts Act 2022 will form the basis of this.
- 4.4 In addition, it is recognised that early intervention work is key to preventing crime and anti-social behaviour. Work will continue to map services and identify individuals and families who will benefit from support but also look to embed key messages. Using education and identifying opportunities for 'reachable, teachable moments' around key themes. A public health perspective is key in this.
- 4.5 We will continue to work with the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (OPCC) to ensure projects initiated locally and centrally work well together and that the local needs, delivery considerations and priorities are considered to ensure an understanding of the unique issues facing the CSP area.
- 4.6 Work has begun through attendance at ASB taskforce meetings and feedback to the PCC grants process. We will seek to engage with the projects that have secured funding to ensure the work compliments our priorities.
- 4.7 It is also important that the Partnership responds to the challenges presented by Covid-19 and ensures any ad-hoc interventions required as a result are put in place promptly. In addition, understanding and enabling communities to recover from covid and what that looks like for individuals, groups and areas.
- 4.8 Contribution to the government CSP review with our experiences of challenges and successes.
- 4.9 The cost-of-living crisis will inevitably lead to an increase in deprivation and therefore crime. The partnership will look to consider the impact of this on our communities and ensure that work continues to provide reassurance and increase feelings of safety to those who reside, work and visit our areas.

- 4.10 Basingstoke, Hart and Rushmoor local authority officers will enable and encourage partners to take the lead on streams of work to tackle priorities that are not within our statutory functions.

End

Serious Violence including knife/blade offences and robbery



Aims

Work with the Violence Reduction Unit to understand root causes driving knife/blade incidents locally and establish a coordinated response.



Statistics

- Knife/ blade crime up 23% in five years across Safer North Hampshire (SNH) area
- Offences were down 27% across SNH in 2020/21
- 26% of robberies involved the use of a knife/blade



Aims

Offences with historically low levels of reporting should be looked at as a priority including hate crime, domestic abuse, sexual offences, child abuse and fraud. Consideration should be given to any barriers to reporting, why these exist and how to remove them.



Anti-Social Behaviour, including youth related incidents and neighbour nuisance



Aims

Identify opportunity for early intervention with young people committing ASB to reduce number going on to commit further higher harm offences and adopt a partnership approach to tackle neighbour nuisance.

Statistics

- Reported instances of ASB decreased by 6% in 2020/21
- 75% of ASB classed as ASB Community
- Approx 20% of ASB classed as youth ASB
- Approx 20% classed as neighbour ASB



Community Safety Partnership Plan

2020-2023

Crimes with historically low levels of reporting



Statistics

- Domestic crimes accounted for 19% of total crime in the SNH area
- There was no change in the number of offences in Hart and a slight increase in Basingstoke (+1%). Offences in Rushmoor increased by 12%
- Hate crime offences up 7% (n18) in Basingstoke, 14% (n22) in Rushmoor and 59% (n27) in Hart

This page is intentionally left blank

BACKGROUND

Briefing from the LGA for prospective police and crime commissioners. Author Chris Williams (LGA)

The concept that local multi-agency partnership working was vital in preventing and reducing crime developed in the 1980s. Following a number of initiatives to create such partnerships on a voluntary basis they were given a statutory basis in 1998. The Crime and Disorder Act imposed a duty on certain key public sector organisations (initially just councils and the police) to work together to make places safer, a requirement that has since been strengthened by subsequent legislation. The Act created Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnerships (CDRPs), one for each local government area, which brought together the police and local authority, and subsequently fire and rescue services, the police authority, health, and probation to formulate strategies for the reduction of crime. This led to increased data sharing across agencies, better joint working, and ultimately a significant impact on crime and disorder within areas. Recognising that “policing is too important to be left to the police alone”, as Ronnie Flanagan put it, CDRPs contributed enormously to the reduction in reported crime in England and Wales from 1995 onwards.

Particular successes of CDRPs (or, as they have always been known in Wales and since 2010 in England, CSPs - Community Safety Partnerships) include effective multi-agency responses to anti-social behaviour, the powerful Integrated Offender Management programmes that have had such influence on acquisitive crime figures, and a vastly improved response to domestic abuse over the past 15 years. Following the 2010 election, the coalition government reviewed CSPs and found that they were effective in reducing crime and putting communities first. They freed up some of the statutory obligations on their processes and structures, and the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 gave a clear legal basis to the relationship between CSPs and incoming Police and Crime Commissioners.

END

PURPOSE

To **PROMOTE** and **EXERCISE** a collective responsibility within the partnership and to **EVIDENCE** a strategic approach towards identified priorities with an emphasis on reducing crime, disorder, antisocial behaviour and its associated impact on those within the geographical area of the Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership.

PRINCIPLES

In the execution of its duties, the Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership will, at all times, be guided by the following principles:

- **EQUALITY** – addressing the needs of all areas and sectors of society, seeking outcomes that promote genuine equality of opportunity for all.
- **SUSTAINABILITY** – ensuring that all aspects of the Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership are considered holistically and in line with the overall aim of improving quality of life.
- **COHESION** – ensuring that the people and communities of our geographical area have a sense of ownership and engagement with the partnership.
- **OUTCOMES** – ensuring that community safety partnership agenda and decision-making focus on adding

value and making tangible progress.

STRUCTURE

The Safer North Hampshire CSP is an **INTEGRATED** partnership that spans across the geographical boundaries of Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council, Hart District Council and Rushmoor Borough Council. It is made up of both statutory agencies and co-opted organisations fulfilling the functions and responsibilities as defined in Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and in accordance with the Safer North Hampshire Combination Agreement 2015. [Attached as appendix 1](#)

STATUTORY FUNCTIONS

To undertake an annual **STRATEGIC ASSESSMENT** to inform Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnerships decision making in respect of priority setting.

To undertake a **6-MONTH REVIEW** of the Strategic Assessment priorities to ensure that they are still the same and resources are being directed appropriately.

To work in partnership to develop a **PARTNERSHIP PLAN** which will drive project and operational delivery across the Safer North Hampshire area over the next 3-year period.

DELIVERY

Whilst its acknowledged that the Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership is **STRATEGIC** in nature and does not set operational/tactical interventions it must still be able to evidence its impact. This is primarily done by asking members to evidence the contribution their organisations have made against the agreed priorities of the Partnership. A number of **OPERATIONAL** forums and **TACTICAL** interventions have been set up/used to assist with achieving the priorities of the Partnership. [Attached as appendix 2](#)

MEMBERSHIP and VOTING

Statutory Members

- Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council
- Hampshire Constabulary (Basingstoke and Deane Police District)
- Hampshire Constabulary (Hart and Rushmoor Police District)
- Hampshire Fire and Rescue Authority?
- Hampshire County Council
- Hart District Council
- NHS - Frimley Clinical Commissioning Group
- NHS – Hampshire and Southampton and Isle of Wight Clinical Commissioning Group
- The Probation Service
- Rushmoor Borough Council

Each agency (as detailed above) present at the meeting shall have the right to **1 vote**. In circumstances where more than 1 representative attends i.e. the local authority, the vote will be cast by the most senior officer present. Police District Commanders will be entitled to one vote each.

10 votes are available.

Co-opted Members:

- Army
- Hampshire and Isle of Wight Fire and Rescue Service (North Hampshire Group)
- Hampshire County Council - Violence Reduction Unit
- Hampshire County Council – Youth Offending Team

The Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership can, by consensus co-opt additional members to the partnership. Such membership will be reviewed on an annual basis (at the first meeting within the new crime recording/financial year. **Co-opted members and guest speakers do not have voting rights.**

MEETINGS and FREQUENCY

The Community Safety Partnership will schedule and meet at least 3 times per annum in order to discuss and monitor progress, risks and allocated actions. In addition to these meetings **ALL** members of the Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership are required to attend the annual Joint Crime Overview and Scrutiny Committee Meeting (Details of which are circulated to partner agencies by local authority Committee Services).

There may be occasions when additional meetings may be necessary for which as much notice as possible will be given.

A meeting will be deemed as quorate if **6 out of the 10** statutory members are present. If this is not possible the meeting should be rescheduled for the next possible opportunity.

CHAIRING

The Safer North Hampshire Strategic Partnership will elect the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson at the inaugural meeting for a term of two years initially.

The elected Chairperson and Vice Chairperson will be a representative of a statutory agency member of the Safer North Hampshire Strategic Partnership.

The Vice Chairperson will then automatically succeed the outgoing Chairperson and a new Vice Chairperson elected.

If NO nomination is received for the post of Chairperson and/or Vice Chairperson, then by default it will fall to one of the local authorities to superintend the partnership until such time that the post(s) can be democratically filled.

ROLE OF THE CHAIRPERSON

1. To commit to a full term of office as Chairperson from the date of appointment
2. To chair 3 scheduled meetings of the Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership
3. To attend, introduce the annual report to the Joint Crime Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting of the three local authorities and respond to questions on the performance of the Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership.
4. To act upon recommendations of the Joint Crime Overview and Scrutiny Committee.
5. To ensure the adoption of the annual Strategic Assessment for Safer North Hampshire area.
6. To ensure the Community Safety Partnership Plan is approved and then reviewed annually.

7. To adhere to the provisions of the Combination Agreement and Memorandum of Understanding.
8. To liaise with the relevant Police and Crime Panel representatives from each district to ensure appropriate information is shared .
9. To chair any Domestic Homicide consideration meeting during the period of tenure as Chairperson of the Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership and fulfil the statutory responsibilities of this role.
10. To hold statutory partners to account for their attendance at the Community Safety Partnership so that all meetings are quorate (6 out of the 10 possible voting representatives must attend) and that decisions can be made/recorded.
11. To hold statutory partners to account for progressing actions between meetings as agreed
12. To seek consensus of Partnership members on matters requiring a decision and to provide the casting vote on issues that cannot be agreed by consensus.
13. To be an advocate / champion for the Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership and feedback to the office of the Police and Crime Commissioner as appropriate on lessons learned from the arrangements.
14. To liaise with the local authority Community Safety Officers in relation to the production of agendas, minutes and other papers to support the functions of the partnership.

ACCOUNTABILITY

- The **Joint Crime Overview and Scrutiny Committee** comprises of members from Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council, Hart District Council and Rushmoor Borough Council, which was set up in accordance with the terms of the Combination Agreement with the office of the Police and Crime Commissioner to fulfil the requirements of the Police and Justice Act 2006. Its purpose to review the performance of the Safer North Community Safety Partnership in relation to the discharge of its crime and disorder functions.
- Local Borough **Overview and Scrutiny Committees** are part of local governance arrangements to ensure that elected members of an authority who are not part of the executive could hold the executive to account for the decisions and actions that affect their communities. This committee can request attendance from the local authorities Community Safety Team and invite other organisations to attend in addition.
- The **Police Crime Commissioner** has a power of accountability over CSPs; they can call in representatives of CSPs in the force area to a meeting to discuss strategies to reduce crime; they can request a written report from a CSP.
- Whilst not directly linked to the performance of Community Safety Partnerships Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabularies, Fire and Rescue Services (**HMICFRS**) may elect to speak with representatives in relation to the work they carry out in partnership with the Police and/or Fire Service.
- Again, whilst not directly linked to the performance of the Partnership Joint Themed Area Inspections (**JTAIs**) maybe carried out and representatives of the CSP maybe asked to be involved and evidence certain processes/interventions.

ADMINISTRATION

Progress reports for the Partnership Plan will be submitted three times a year, two weeks prior to the SNH-SP meetings to allow time for the collation of data.

Local authority officers (Community Safety) will take responsibility for arranging meetings and sending out invites, agendas. Applicable for scheduled meetings, DHR consideration meetings.

Administrative support for the annual Joint Crime Overview and Scrutiny meeting will be provided by one of the 3 local authority Committee Services. This function will be carried out on a rotating basis and agreed in advance by the

Local authorities involved.

Agendas and supporting documents will be circulated at least 7 days before any meeting.

The taking of minutes for any Safer North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership meeting will be undertaken by 1 of the local authorities present.

Minutes will be produced and circulated within ten working days of the meeting. The minutes will be public documents and will be posted on the Safer North Hampshire website (after being signed off by the Chairperson), except where items have been deemed by the Partnership as exempt from publication.

Members of the Community Safety Partnership will agree annually to the processing of their contact details for the purpose of coordinating meetings and sharing minutes (which shall be published on the Partnership website) and for other purposes relevant to the work of this Partnership. This consent (verbal) will be obtained at the first scheduled meeting of any new crime recording/financial year and recorded in the minutes.

Partners have a duty to inform their local Community Safety Team (local authority) of any changes to representation so that the contact list remains accurate and up to date. Amendments to the list will also be shared with the other 2 Local authority Community Safety Teams to ensure compliance with the General Data Protection Regulations.

DATE CIRCULATED

DATE AGREED AT CSP

CSP CHAIRPERSON

CLlr Simon BOUND

SIGNATURE

TO BE REVIEWED EVERY 3 YEARS. Next review due:

July 2024

This page is intentionally left blank

PRIORITY FEEDBACK TEMPLATE

PARTNER INFORMATION

AGENCY	Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council – Community Safety Team/ Community Safety Patrol Officers
NAME	Debbie Mason /Daniel White
ROLE	Community Safety Team Leader/ Community Support Manager

Please explain HOW the partnership has assisted your agency to achieve the priorities of our CSP and any individual organisational objectives.

Over the past year members of the CSP have worked with us on various issues that have arisen. There have been some common themes across the areas outside of the priorities listed below. Two of note are vehicle nuisance relating to car meets and notification of Domestic Homicide Reviews in Basingstoke and Hart.

PRIORITIES

Priority	Anti-Social Behaviour, including youth related incidents and neighbour nuisance
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<i>Anti-social behaviour remains a concern for communities, individuals and businesses. Both youth related anti-social behaviour and neighbour nuisance anti-social behaviour accounted for a significant proportion of incidents and were widespread across the area. Although the CSP currently has some effective mechanisms in place, early intervention with young people and proactivity in neighbour disputes are key in reducing the number of higher harm offences and more severe neighbour nuisance issues.</i>

Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.

This is the focus of a large proportion of our work. We have the Community Safety Patrol officers as part of the team who are actively tackling ASB. A key part of the work is also attendance and contribution to multi-agency meetings.

Output 1	Community Safety Patrol officer incidents and ASB campaign	Impact	Awareness raising with the community regarding reporting. Hotspot patrols. Dealt with 1422 incidents. 73 Warning notices issued
Output 2	Implementation of a Public Space Protection Order to tackle alcohol related nuisance in Basingstoke Town Centre and some surrounding parks and open spaces.	Impact	Reassurance to businesses. Tool in place to enable those who are causing a nuisance while in drink to be dispersed or fined. This is in place for 3 years.
Output 3	ASB panel Continued multi-agency work to evidence gather and case build	Impact	51 ASB referrals received, 34 cases discussed at panel. 52 Case reviews.

	against individuals involved in antisocial behaviour.		Meets monthly.
Output 4	Community Trigger	Impact	We have provided reciprocal chairing to Hart District Council on one case. Two activations received that did meet the threshold in Basingstoke, however these cases were resolved through partnership working.
In year impact	CSPO customer feedback forms (104) have recorded that 91% have seen a decrease in ASB as a result of interventions.		

PRIORITIES			
Priority	Serious Violence including knife/blade offences and robbery		
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<i>The threat of serious organised crime especially related to the exploitation of children is a county priority. County Lines and organised gangs are known to be operating within the Safer North Hampshire area and there are strong links to drug related harm and serious violence. While figures and overall weapons offences are relatively low, the number of people found to be carrying a bladed weapon, using them in certain offences and robbery have all risen. It should be a priority for the CSP to identify and work with vulnerable individuals at an early stage to prevent the occurrence of higher harm offences and work with this cohort to educate them and reduce the risk of future offences.</i>		
Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.			
The community safety team and CSPOs attend partnership meetings to discuss individuals and areas of concern to problem solve and prevent individuals from becoming involved in CSE/CCE and serious violence. Whilst this is not our core area of work we have a role to play in intelligence gathering and identifying trends.			
Output 1	Gang injunction	Impact	BDBC CS team and CSPOs have provided evidence in relations to breaches and those who may be at risk.
Output 2	Serious violence strategy	Impact	Work has begun to identify streams of work to tackle youth serious violence. This will be developed further with partners taking ownership over the coming year.
Output 3	Violence Against Women and Girls	Impact	Development of CSP VAWG strategy
Output 4	Operational and strategic attendance and input into partnership meetings including Prevent Partnership Board, Channel Panel, Safeguarding and OP Met.	Impact	Ensuring that Basingstoke and the CSP are sighted on risk and involved in work to reduce this risk.
In year impact	The Gang injunction has reduced offending of one group and has identified risk groups to target with ongoing preventative work.		

PRIORITIES	
Priority	Crimes with historically low levels of reporting

Strategic Assessment Narrative	<i>This covers hate crime, domestic abuse, sexual offences, child abuse and fraud. These are all commonly repeat offences with potentially long-term impacts on mental health and wider behavioural issues. The CSP should be looking to understand any barriers to reporting, why they exist and implementing any processes to reduce or remove them as well as promoting reporting mechanisms.</i>		
Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.			
There has been positive and proactive work around this with partners across many areas.			
Output 1	Domestic Abuse Forum	Impact	This has been restarted as a separate meeting for the Basingstoke area. Attended by many agencies who are involved in DA. Meets 4 times per year. Increase in awareness, sharing of campaigns, best practice and training. Attendance from OPCC and HCC. BDBC enabled meeting, with a rolling chair.
Output 2	Hate Crime Working Group	Impact	Meets quarterly. Awareness raising, best practice sharing. Chaired by CAB and attended by partners.
Output 3	Domestic Homicide Review	Impact	Notification of a Domestic Homicide received April 2021. This is the first one for Basingstoke. The CSP have the duty to undertake them and these are paid for by CCG, OPCC, HIOFRS and Hampshire Police. This is an ongoing piece of work which will lead to recommendations to implement.
Output 4	Shared media campaigns	Impact	Awareness raising and sign posting for support. Ensuring constant messaging from partners to staff and the public.
In year impact	It is difficult to measure the impact of this. However, awareness raising and providing the mechanisms to report is a positive. Sharing best practice and training with partners is key to this.		

Date	01/06/22
Signature	D Mason

This page is intentionally left blank

PRIORITY FEEDBACK TEMPLATE

PARTNER INFORMATION

AGENCY	Hart Community Safety
NAME	Rachael Wilkinson
ROLE	Community Safety Manager, Hart District Council

Please explain HOW the partnership has assisted your agency to achieve the priorities of our CSP and any individual organisational objectives.

The Hart Community Safety Service Plan objective 'Contribute to the delivery of the North Hampshire Community Safety Partnership (CSP) Partnership Plan' means that we align directly with the Partnership Plan priorities, and we use those priorities to focus our response and proactive project work.

PRIORITIES

Priority	Anti-Social Behaviour, including youth related incidents and neighbour nuisance
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<i>Anti-social behaviour remains a concern for communities, individuals and businesses. Both youth related anti-social behaviour and neighbour nuisance anti-social behaviour accounted for a significant proportion of incidents and were widespread across the area. Although the CSP currently has some effective mechanisms in place, early intervention with young people and proactivity in neighbour disputes are key in reducing the number of higher harm offences and more severe neighbour nuisance issues.</i>

Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.

This is core business for Hart Community Safety and we work in partnership on most cases we deal with – predominantly with the relevant Neighbourhood Policing Teams (NPTs) but other partners such as HIOWFRS as appropriate. We also further work in partnership beyond CSP partners where we can with the relevant Housing Association, Hart Housing Solutions, Hart Environmental Health, Hart Licensing, Hart Parking, Children's and Adult Services, Town & Parish Councils, local youth organisations and the Community Mental Health Team.

Output 1	ASB cases reported to Hart Community Safety	Impact	668 reports received from 01/04/2021 to end March 2022 – approx. 85% resolved at source due to appropriate signposting or advice given. Remaining approx. 15% have required follow up usually with other agencies to reach resolution – a very small number have resulted in enforcement action (6 cases) which have been successful through the court.
Output 2	Cases resolved by Vulnerabilities Operational Group (soon to be renamed the Hart & Rushmoor People meeting)	Impact	Cases are discussed until a satisfactory action plan has been created or the most appropriate other agency or mechanism has taken the lead. This allows for risk assessment on individual cases and improves partnership working whilst getting the best outcome for those individuals discussed.

Output 3	<i>Community Trigger Policy & Procedure</i>	Impact	<i>Promotion of the Community Trigger has led to the receipt of 2 Community Trigger applications – both of which met the threshold and were accepted. This has provided the mechanism to do a full ASB Case Review bringing all involved partners and agencies to the table to assess if all that can be done has been done. 1 Trigger has been completed and 1 is still ongoing. This gives affected residents a voice and promotes confidence in the service provided by partners and partner collaboration.</i>
Output 4	<i>Early intervention work such as ASB Warning Letters, Acceptable Behaviour Contracts (ABCs), Good Neighbour Agreements (GNAs) and Community Protection Warning Letters.</i>	Impact	<i>These are designed to prevent situations from escalating to enforcement through court and thereby reduce the stressful impact of ASB on those affected. 36 such interventions were employed across the year which in all but 6 cases have thus far prevented the need for further enforcement.</i>
In year impact	<i>There has generally been a decrease in Police ASB figures which may in some part be due to a change in the way ASB is recorded i.e., a course of conduct with ASB is now recorded as Harassment rather than ASB Personal or ASB Community. We will have a better comparative idea of ASB reported to Hart Community Safety and the impact of service after the next full year of data.</i>		

PRIORITIES			
Priority	Serious Violence including knife/blade offences and robbery		
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<i>The threat of serious organised crime especially related to the exploitation of children is a county priority. County Lines and organised gangs are known to be operating within the Safer North Hampshire area and there are strong links to drug related harm and serious violence. While figures and overall weapons offences are relatively low, the number of people found to be carrying a bladed weapon, using them in certain offences and robbery have all risen. It should be a priority for the CSP to identify and work with vulnerable individuals at an early stage to prevent the occurrence of higher harm offences and work with this cohort to educate them and reduce the risk of future offences.</i>		
Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.			
<i>Hart Community Safety works in partnership with both the Hart & Rushmoor High Harm Team and Op MET group (led by Children’s Services) to manage individuals identified as at risk. Work has also been carried out across schools in partnership with the NPTs in schools and in youth clubs where particular issues have been flagged. Further proactive project work to raise awareness has been carried out in partnership with the Willow Team (specialising in exploitation) from Children’s Services, St Giles Trust and Catch 22.</i>			
Output 1	<i>Awareness raising with young people around the dangers of involvement with drugs, drug related harm and the risks associated with carrying a bladed weapon.</i>	Impact	<i>Hart Community Safety commissioned an interactive experience to come to Hart secondary schools and youth clubs/organisations in July 2021. 1 school took part with 85 Year 10s receiving exposure to the offering. 4 Scout Groups and 1 Youth Club also took part. Reaction to the offering was positive and resulted in wider discussions taking place within follow up classes/sessions.</i> <i>This type of offering is costly so engaging all schools will make this more cost effective – so far for the forthcoming year 3 out of the 5 Hart secondary schools have committed to taking part, a further 2 Scout groups and 1 other Youth Club. It is hoped that schools may look to approach PTA for part funding to ensure that this offering can continue to be offered year on year as this is critical messaging to our</i>

Page 34

Page 34

			young people.
Output 2	<i>Provision of funding for Detached Youth Workers around Yateley, Darby Green and Blackwater</i>		<i>Coordinated by Vision4Youth, 72 sessions were provided over the year, spending on average of 3 hours per evening following set patrol routes but including any new areas when flagged by Hart Community Safety or the local NPT as potential hotspots. 1,067 young people were communicated with directly, with the largest age range addressed being boys aged 12-14. Workers enquired after their wellbeing and engaged around what issues may be worrying them and took all opportunity to promote safe access to reporting should the young people have any concerns around drugs or drug related harm including issues around bladed weapons. Youth workers were also encouraged to submit Community Partnership Information (CPI) Forms where appropriate.</i>
In year impact	<i>Serious violence figures for Hart are generally low compared to other districts but this does not negate the need for keeping awareness of risk high.</i>		

PRIORITIES			
Priority	Crimes with historically low levels of reporting		
Strategic Assessment Narrative	This covers hate crime, domestic abuse, sexual offences, child abuse and fraud. These are all commonly repeat offences with potentially long-term impacts on mental health and wider behavioural issues. The CSP should be looking to understand any barriers to reporting, why they exist and implementing any processes to reduce or remove them as well as promoting reporting mechanisms.		
Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.			
Hart Community Safety co-chair a DA forum for Northeast Hampshire which brings partners across the CSP, wider local authority services, additional DA support services and voluntary organisations together to share information and best practice so that all engaged agencies are kept informed.			
Hart Community Safety also worked with the Hampshire Constabulary Communications team to produce consistent messaging on hate crime topics.			
Output 1	DA Forum	Impact	There were 5 Forums held with an average attendance of 30 organisations and agencies represented. Speakers provided in depth presentations on connections between DA and Missing, Exploited and Trafficked children, the role of Crimestoppers in supporting DA victims, DHRs, Male Victim issues and Victim Support Services. The Forum is valued by attendees (as ascertained by a survey circulated in March 2022) but is not easily sustained and supported as there is no longer a designated DA Officer.
Output 2	Resilience Against Domestic Abuse (RADA)	Impact	Hart Community Safety has provided funding for this ongoing project, set up by Fleet Phoenix, which offers group and one-on-one support to Junior School age children who have been victim of or witness to DA with wider support also being offered to the parents/families. There have been 8 families supported to date.

Page 35

Page 35

Output 3	<i>Hate Crime Awareness Week Communications</i>	Impact	<i>Communications pieces were circulated through Hampshire Alerts and Hart Communications channels on hate crimes/incidents in relation to disabilities, sex/sexual orientation and race, including Gypsy, Roma and Traveller (GRT) communities. All communications promoted the importance of reporting and the opportunity to report through third parties such as Citizens Advice.</i>
In year impact	<i>This is a hard one to measure in terms of impact on levels of reporting and whether our awareness raising work has increased reporting at all but feedback across partners is that sharing of information on new services and sharing of best practice in relation to DA is invaluable. In terms of wider promotional/awareness raising communications work specifically, responses to social media output has been generally positive.</i>		

Date	13/05/2022
Signature	

PRIORITY FEEDBACK TEMPLATE

PARTNER INFORMATION

AGENCY	Rushmoor Borough Council Community Safety
NAME	David Lipscombe
ROLE	Community Safety Manager

Please explain HOW the partnership has assisted your agency to achieve the priorities of our CSP and any individual organisational objectives.

Rushmoor Borough Council continue to forge positive relationships with partners across the CSP following the dissolution of the SNH shared community safety team. We engage partners in a number of professional problem solving forums, and seek advice and counsel on relevant issues. Shared workspace with Police contributes significantly to partnership working, along with regular update meetings with other partners such as Fire, YOT and Housing Associations.

PRIORITIES

Priority	Anti-Social Behaviour, including youth related incidents and neighbour nuisance
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<i>Anti-social behaviour remains a concern for communities, individuals and businesses. Both youth related anti-social behaviour and neighbour nuisance anti-social behaviour accounted for a significant proportion of incidents and were widespread across the area. Although the CSP currently has some effective mechanisms in place, early intervention with young people and proactivity in neighbour disputes are key in reducing the number of higher harm offences and more severe neighbour nuisance issues.</i>

Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.

- Manage antisocial behaviour and vulnerability through the Acceptable Behaviour Contract, Vulnerability Operational Group and Community Protection Notice process
- Refresh of the Vulnerability Operational Group (VOG) meeting to the People forum and widening of scope and ensuring all relevant areas of vulnerability are considered each month
- Continued use of partnership Cannabis Warning Process in order to tackle significant levels of cannabis complaints
- Attendance at multi-agency meetings such as Multi Agency Risk Assessment Conference, High Intensity User Group, agency led risk management and other related meetings
- Work in partnership with Police, Fire, Housing, internal Council departments and other agencies to tackle antisocial behaviour at a local level through local campaigns such as Halloween, Bonfire Night, Summer campaign etc
- Work with Community Patrol Officers in relevant authorities to tackle antisocial behaviour and town centre related nuisance, providing neighbourhood reassurance and deterring ASB and environmental crime through evidenced based patrolling activity
- Coordination of partnership problem solving activity through relevant forums and projects e.g. Aldershot Town Centre group
- Engagement with the OPCC ASB taskforce and partnership consideration for any local referrals as and when required
- Refreshed Community Trigger Process in Rushmoor, with positive promotion on it's use encouraged with residents
- Attendance at Police beat surgeries
- Coordination of regular local Neighbourhood Watch, Police and Community Safety update meetings
- Attendance and engagement with daily police management meeting and monthly police tasking meeting

Output 1	30 x cannabis warning letters sent	Impact	<p>Majority of letter sent result in no further action</p> <p>Reduction in neighbour complaints regarding cannabis</p>
Output 2	1 x Community Trigger coordinated	Impact	<p>Significant ongoing neighbour nuisance issue managed through Community Trigger process, ensuring all agencies meeting their obligations</p> <p>Reduction in neighbour complaints regarding issue</p> <p>Further court action planned and being led by key partner</p>
Output 3	62 x antisocial behaviour patrols carried out by Community Patrol Officers in response to identified hotspots	Impact	<p>Increased public confidence</p> <p>Issuing of ASB warning slips to offenders</p> <p>Intelligence submitted to Hampshire Constabulary following patrols</p> <p>Reduction in complaints about hotspots</p>
Output 4		Impact	
In year impact	There has generally been a decrease in Police ASB figures which may in some part be due to a change in the way ASB is recorded i.e., a course of conduct with ASB is now recorded as Harassment rather than ASB Personal or ASB Community.		

PRIORITIES	
Priority	Serious Violence including knife/blade offences and robbery
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<p>The threat of serious organised crime especially related to the exploitation of children is a county priority. County Lines and organised gangs are known to be operating within the Safer North Hampshire area and there are strong links to drug related harm and serious violence. While figures and overall weapons offences are relatively low, the number of people found to be carrying a bladed weapon, using them in certain offences and robbery have all risen. It should be a priority for the CSP to identify and work with vulnerable individuals at an early stage to prevent the occurrence of higher harm offences and work with this cohort to educate them and reduce the risk of future offences.</p>
Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Officers sit on the Hampshire Violence Reduction Unit meeting, feeding into the group and using information and resources provided to consider action at a local level In partnership with Hampshire Constabulary established a local High Harm Reduction Group covering the Hart and Rushmoor area with the aim of tackling serious violence at a local level Officers continued to facilitate the North Hants Domestic Abuse Forum, sharing best practice and training opportunities with partners Development of CSP Violence Against Women and Girls response Attendance and engagement with Prevent Partnership Board and Channel Panel Attendance and engagement with other relevant forums such as Multi-agency Risk Assessment Conference (MARAC), Multi-agency Public Protection Arrangements) MAPPA, Op Met (Missing, Exploited and Trafficked) and Fortress Leading on requests for Domestic Homicide Reviews in Rushmoor area Work with Rushmoor Licensing Team and Hampshire Constabulary to manage licensed premises and ensure violent incidents are dealt with and minimised 	

Output 1	<i>Violence Reduction Unit problem profile covering Rushmoor area</i>	Impact	<i>Detailed analysis on local area, allowing for partners to plan response</i>
Output 2	<i>1 x request for Domestic Homicide Review</i>	Impact	<i>Complex request for DHR managed by Community Safety Team and wider CSP</i> <i>Request rejected and currently with Home Office for review</i>
Output 3		Impact	
Output 4		Impact	
In year impact	<i>Serious violence continues to be a concern within the Rushmoor area, but is well managed by wider CSP members through the High Harm group, Violence Reduction Unit and business as usual Police work</i>		

PRIORITIES			
Priority	Crimes with historically low levels of reporting		
Strategic Assessment Narrative	This covers hate crime, domestic abuse, sexual offences, child abuse and fraud. These are all commonly repeat offences with potentially long-term impacts on mental health and wider behavioural issues. The CSP should be looking to understand any barriers to reporting, why they exist and implementing any processes to reduce or remove them as well as promoting reporting mechanisms.		
Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.			
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Refresh of the VOG meeting to the People forum and widening of scope and ensure all relevant areas are considered each month e.g., domestic abuse, harassment, hate crime• Officers continued to facilitate the North Hants Domestic Abuse Forum, sharing best practice and training opportunities with partners• Development of new Place based forum tackling locality-based issues• Promotion of key areas via comms teams and social media to encourage reporting including various national weeks of action such as Mental Health Awareness, White Ribbon, Antisocial Behaviour Week• Safeguarding training			
Output 1	12 x VOG meetings held managing referrals and other vulnerable individuals identified through police data	Impact	Action plans to manage and support vulnerable individuals Reduction in service demand when resolved and closed to group
Output 2	58 x RBC staff training in safeguarding	Impact	Raised awareness and ability for staff to identify and submit referrals when vulnerable individuals identified
Output 3	NE Hants Domestic Abuse Forum	Impact	There were 5 Forums held with an average attendance of 30 organisations and agencies represented. Speakers provided in depth presentations on connections between DA and Missing, Exploited and Trafficked children, the role of Crimestoppers in supporting DA victims, DHRs, Male Victim issues and Victim Support Services. The Forum is valued by attendees (as ascertained by a survey circulated in March

Page 39

Page 39

			2022) but is not easily sustained and supported as there is no longer a designated DA Officer.
Output 4		Impact	
In year impact	Continued attention to underreported crimes will raise awareness both with partners and the public, encouraging reporting and boosting confidence.		

Date	13.05.22
Signature	DLipscombe

PRIORITY FEEDBACK TEMPLATE

PARTNER INFORMATION

AGENCY

Hampshire Constabulary

NAME

Chief Inspector Matthew Reeves

ROLE

District Commander, Basingstoke & Deane

Please explain HOW the partnership has assisted your agency to achieve the priorities of our CSP and any individual organisational objectives.

We have a business plan for each policing district which sets out our priorities. For Basingstoke and Deane these are very closely aligned with CSP priorities. Our business plan includes:

- *Serious youth violence*
- *County lines and drug related harm*
- *Domestic abuse*

We have worked with partners in tackling all three of these areas (see below)

PRIORITIES

Priority

Anti-Social Behaviour, including youth related incidents and neighbour nuisance

Strategic
Assessment
Narrative

Anti-social behaviour remains a concern for communities, individuals and businesses. Both youth related anti-social behaviour and neighbour nuisance anti-social behaviour accounted for a significant proportion of incidents and were widespread across the area. Although the CSP currently has some effective mechanisms in place, early intervention with young people and proactivity in neighbour disputes are key in reducing the number of higher harm offences and more severe neighbour nuisance issues.

Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.

This is core business for our neighbourhood policing teams and we work in partnership on pretty much every case we deal with. In particular we work with BDBC and housing associations and refer cases to the ASB panel.

Output 1

ASB cases recorded by police

Impact

Hopefully Kerry can obtain the data, I know it has gone down but that may be in part down to changes in recording practices where more incidents are recorded as public order rather than ASB

Output 2

Cases resolved by ASB panel

Impact

BDBC to provide

Output 3

Partner to complete

Impact

Partner to complete.

			Threat Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
Output 4	<i>Partner to complete</i>	Impact	<i>Partner to complete.</i> SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
In year impact	<i>As above – Kerry has access to the data</i>		

PRIORITIES			
Priority	Serious Violence including knife/blade offences and robbery		
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<p>The threat of serious organised crime especially related to the exploitation of children is a county priority. County Lines and organised gangs are known to be operating within the Safer North Hampshire area and there are strong links to drug related harm and serious violence. While figures and overall weapons offences are relatively low, the number of people found to be carrying a bladed weapon, using them in certain offences and robbery have all risen. It should be a priority for the CSP to identify and work with vulnerable individuals at an early stage to prevent the occurrence of higher harm offences and work with this cohort to educate them and reduce the risk of future offences.</p> <p>Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.</p> <p><i>The main work has been in relation to the Basingstoke Street Gang (Operation Witch). We secured the gang injunction against 15 individuals early in 2021 and throughout the year our legal team successfully defended a number of appeals. Our High Harm Team and MET Team have worked hard on managing the individuals involved, and we have prosecuted around 20 breaches of the injunction. This work has all been done in partnership with CSD, Willow Team, YOT, BDBC, educational establishments and others.</i></p> <p><i>We have now identified a new cohort of children who are at risk of exploitation and involvement in gang violence and partnership activity is underway to ensure effective intervention at an earlier stage than was possible with the BSG (Operation Ruin).</i></p> <p><i>Our NPT team are delivering workshops in the schools and colleges to discuss stop and search – hearing feedback from young people and educating them about their rights and what to expect if they're stopped by the police.</i></p> <p><i>We are about to launch a schools advocacy project where trusted members of school staff have been trained about stop and search and will be able to raise any issues students have directly with the police on their behalf. Both of these projects are designed to build the confidence that our young residents have in local policing, and in particular to ensure that stop and search remains a legitimate tactic which is professionally used in order to keep them safe.</i></p>		
Output 1	<i>Reduction in recorded offences of most serious violence (MSV) and personal robbery</i>	Impact	2021/22 compared with 2019/20: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Personal Robbery down from 173 offences to 89 (48.6% reduction) MSV down from 110 offences to 79 (28.2% reduction) <p>Strength – now have strong partnership relationships committed to tackling serious violence</p> <p>Weaknesses – still no clear framework or meeting structure to discuss cases for early intervention</p> <p>Opportunities – reconfiguring of the VRU by the OPCC to make it more effective at an operational level</p>

			Threat – as above, we are already seeing the next cohort of individuals emerge. Sustaining the reductions will be challenging
Output 2	Partner to complete	Impact	Partner to complete. SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
Output 3	Partner to complete	Impact	Partner to complete. SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
Output 4	Partner to complete	Impact	Partner to complete. SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
In year impact	Insert any relevant data to show trends, decreases, increases etc and whether there has been an improvement		

PRIORITIES			
Priority	Crimes with historically low levels of reporting		
Strategic Assessment Narrative	This covers hate crime, domestic abuse, sexual offences, child abuse and fraud. These are all commonly repeat offences with potentially long-term impacts on mental health and wider behavioural issues. The CSP should be looking to understand any barriers to reporting, why they exist and implementing any processes to reduce or remove them as well as promoting reporting mechanisms.		
Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.			
<p>Domestic abuse – we have work ongoing forcewide and at a local level to improve our response to DA. In terms of the CSP, we attend the DA forum</p> <p>Hate crime – the Basingstoke Hate Crime Working Group continues to meet bringing together police, BDBC, BVA, CAB and community representatives to discuss issues and seek solutions.</p>			
Output 1	DA reporting to police	Impact	Kerry can get details – it has gone up which may indicate an increased confidence in reporting
Output 2	Hate crime reporting to police	Impact	As above
Output 3	Partner to complete	Impact	Partner to complete. SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat

			Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
Output 4	Partner to complete	Impact	Partner to complete. SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
In year impact	Insert any relevant data to show trends, decreases, increases etc and whether there has been an improvement		

Date	27/04/2022
Signature	M.R. REEVES

PRIORITY FEEDBACK TEMPLATE

PARTNER INFORMATION

AGENCY	Rushmoor Borough Council Community Safety
NAME	David Lipscombe
ROLE	Community Safety Manager

Please explain HOW the partnership has assisted your agency to achieve the priorities of our CSP and any individual organisational objectives.

Rushmoor Borough Council continue to forge positive relationships with partners across the CSP following the dissolution of the SNH shared community safety team. We engage partners in a number of professional problem solving forums, and seek advice and counsel on relevant issues. Shared workspace with Police contributes significantly to partnership working, along with regular update meetings with other partners such as Fire, YOT and Housing Associations.

PRIORITIES

Priority	Anti-Social Behaviour, including youth related incidents and neighbour nuisance
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<i>Anti-social behaviour remains a concern for communities, individuals and businesses. Both youth related anti-social behaviour and neighbour nuisance anti-social behaviour accounted for a significant proportion of incidents and were widespread across the area. Although the CSP currently has some effective mechanisms in place, early intervention with young people and proactivity in neighbour disputes are key in reducing the number of higher harm offences and more severe neighbour nuisance issues.</i>

Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.

- Manage antisocial behaviour and vulnerability through the Acceptable Behaviour Contract, Vulnerability Operational Group and Community Protection Notice process
- Refresh of the Vulnerability Operational Group (VOG) meeting to the People forum and widening of scope and ensuring all relevant areas of vulnerability are considered each month
- Continued use of partnership Cannabis Warning Process in order to tackle significant levels of cannabis complaints
- Attendance at multi-agency meetings such as Multi Agency Risk Assessment Conference, High Intensity User Group, agency led risk management and other related meetings
- Work in partnership with Police, Fire, Housing, internal Council departments and other agencies to tackle antisocial behaviour at a local level through local campaigns such as Halloween, Bonfire Night, Summer campaign etc
- Work with Community Patrol Officers in relevant authorities to tackle antisocial behaviour and town centre related nuisance, providing neighbourhood reassurance and deterring ASB and environmental crime through evidenced based patrolling activity
- Coordination of partnership problem solving activity through relevant forums and projects e.g. Aldershot Town Centre group
- Engagement with the OPCC ASB taskforce and partnership consideration for any local referrals as and when required
- Refreshed Community Trigger Process in Rushmoor, with positive promotion on it's use encouraged with residents
- Attendance at Police beat surgeries
- Coordination of regular local Neighbourhood Watch, Police and Community Safety update meetings
- Attendance and engagement with daily police management meeting and monthly police tasking meeting

Output 1	30 x cannabis warning letters sent	Impact	<p>Majority of letter sent result in no further action</p> <p>Reduction in neighbour complaints regarding cannabis</p>
Output 2	1 x Community Trigger coordinated	Impact	<p>Significant ongoing neighbour nuisance issue managed through Community Trigger process, ensuring all agencies meeting their obligations</p> <p>Reduction in neighbour complaints regarding issue</p> <p>Further court action planned and being led by key partner</p>
Output 3	62 x antisocial behaviour patrols carried out by Community Patrol Officers in response to identified hotspots	Impact	<p>Increased public confidence</p> <p>Issuing of ASB warning slips to offenders</p> <p>Intelligence submitted to Hampshire Constabulary following patrols</p> <p>Reduction in complaints about hotspots</p>
Output 4		Impact	
In year impact	There has generally been a decrease in Police ASB figures which may in some part be due to a change in the way ASB is recorded i.e., a course of conduct with ASB is now recorded as Harassment rather than ASB Personal or ASB Community.		

PRIORITIES	
Priority	Serious Violence including knife/blade offences and robbery
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<p>The threat of serious organised crime especially related to the exploitation of children is a county priority. County Lines and organised gangs are known to be operating within the Safer North Hampshire area and there are strong links to drug related harm and serious violence. While figures and overall weapons offences are relatively low, the number of people found to be carrying a bladed weapon, using them in certain offences and robbery have all risen. It should be a priority for the CSP to identify and work with vulnerable individuals at an early stage to prevent the occurrence of higher harm offences and work with this cohort to educate them and reduce the risk of future offences.</p>
Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Officers sit on the Hampshire Violence Reduction Unit meeting, feeding into the group and using information and resources provided to consider action at a local level In partnership with Hampshire Constabulary established a local High Harm Reduction Group covering the Hart and Rushmoor area with the aim of tackling serious violence at a local level Officers continued to facilitate the North Hants Domestic Abuse Forum, sharing best practice and training opportunities with partners Development of CSP Violence Against Women and Girls response Attendance and engagement with Prevent Partnership Board and Channel Panel Attendance and engagement with other relevant forums such as Multi-agency Risk Assessment Conference (MARAC), Multi-agency Public Protection Arrangements) MAPPA, Op Met (Missing, Exploited and Trafficked) and Fortress Leading on requests for Domestic Homicide Reviews in Rushmoor area Work with Rushmoor Licensing Team and Hampshire Constabulary to manage licensed premises and ensure violent incidents are dealt with and minimised 	

Output 1	<i>Violence Reduction Unit problem profile covering Rushmoor area</i>	Impact	<i>Detailed analysis on local area, allowing for partners to plan response</i>
Output 2	<i>1 x request for Domestic Homicide Review</i>	Impact	<i>Complex request for DHR managed by Community Safety Team and wider CSP</i> <i>Request rejected and currently with Home Office for review</i>
Output 3		Impact	
Output 4		Impact	
In year impact	<i>Serious violence continues to be a concern within the Rushmoor area, but is well managed by wider CSP members through the High Harm group, Violence Reduction Unit and business as usual Police work</i>		

PRIORITIES			
Priority	Crimes with historically low levels of reporting		
Strategic Assessment Narrative	This covers hate crime, domestic abuse, sexual offences, child abuse and fraud. These are all commonly repeat offences with potentially long-term impacts on mental health and wider behavioural issues. The CSP should be looking to understand any barriers to reporting, why they exist and implementing any processes to reduce or remove them as well as promoting reporting mechanisms.		
Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.			
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Refresh of the VOG meeting to the People forum and widening of scope and ensure all relevant areas are considered each month e.g., domestic abuse, harassment, hate crimeOfficers continued to facilitate the North Hants Domestic Abuse Forum, sharing best practice and training opportunities with partnersDevelopment of new Place based forum tackling locality-based issuesPromotion of key areas via comms teams and social media to encourage reporting including various national weeks of action such as Mental Health Awareness, White Ribbon, Antisocial Behaviour WeekSafeguarding training			
Output 1	12 x VOG meetings held managing referrals and other vulnerable individuals identified through police data	Impact	Action plans to manage and support vulnerable individuals Reduction in service demand when resolved and closed to group
Output 2	58 x RBC staff training in safeguarding	Impact	Raised awareness and ability for staff to identify and submit referrals when vulnerable individuals identified
Output 3	NE Hants Domestic Abuse Forum	Impact	There were 5 Forums held with an average attendance of 30 organisations and agencies represented. Speakers provided in depth presentations on connections between DA and Missing, Exploited and Trafficked children, the role of Crimestoppers in supporting DA victims, DHRs, Male Victim issues and Victim Support Services. The Forum is valued by attendees (as ascertained by a survey circulated in March

Page 47

Page 47

			2022) but is not easily sustained and supported as there is no longer a designated DA Officer.
Output 4		Impact	
In year impact	Continued attention to underreported crimes will raise awareness both with partners and the public, encouraging reporting and boosting confidence.		

Date	13.05.22
Signature	DLipscombe

PRIORITY FEEDBACK TEMPLATE

PARTNER INFORMATION

AGENCY	Police
NAME	Kirsten Troman
ROLE	Hart and Rushmoor T/District Commander

Please explain HOW the partnership has assisted your agency to achieve the priorities of our CSP and any individual organisational objectives.

Rushmoor Borough Council and Hart District Council have been exceptionally helpful in levering access for the Police into the broader partnership. The Police area of focus to work together in partnership to achieve shared goals wouldn't have been possible without this support. Big thankyou to both. Practically it has allowed the Place Protection Manager and I at RBC to pull together examining the landscape for tactical and operational delivery or threat risk and harm so as to achieve efficiency and clear ownership of delivery areas under different meeting structures. This has given me confidence in my role as District Commander to deliver efficient and effective management across the geography for the people and partnership of Hart and Rushmoor.

Currently I am trying to navigate the relationship between the Districts and the OPCC verses the Districts and the CSP as far as grand funding, project prioritisation and strategic direction. Some of this will be about me being newer to role but I think the picture is a little muddled. I have clear Police strategic direction but I take my role as hearing the partnership Strategic direction seriously and I believe that we could be a little tighter in this area.

The changes to Integrated Care Services and the challenges of ¼ of Hart and Rushmoor being delivered by SECAM, Frimley CCG and Surrey and Borders NHS trust rather than Hampshire based health partners means I and the Hart and Rushmoor partnership need to do things a little differently to ensure that the communities health, wellbeing and safety needs are met from a conjoined partnership. The Place Protection Manager at RBC and I have been striving tactically to deliver this partnership, I am hoping that the same efforts are being made Strategically to ensure that the communities in Hart and Rushmoor are not forgotten strategically by Health authorities sat outside of Hampshire.

The development of the Violence and Harm reduction panel for Hart and Rushmoor in the last year has the vision of shared risk appreciation, shared vision, informed evidence base and tying together partnership delivery.

PRIORITIES

Priority	Anti-Social Behaviour, including youth related incidents and neighbour nuisance
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<i>Anti-social behaviour remains a concern for communities, individuals and businesses. Both youth related anti-social behaviour and neighbour nuisance anti-social behaviour accounted for a significant proportion of incidents and were widespread across the area. Although the CSP currently has some effective mechanisms in place, early intervention with young people and proactivity in neighbour disputes are key in reducing the number of higher harm offences and more severe neighbour nuisance issues.</i>

Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.

Output 1	<i>Police Daily Management meeting – tying in Community Safety Teams from Councils to know early what incidents have been reported for low level crime as well as ASB so that effective allocation of resources and prioritisation can be delivered.</i>	Medium	<p><i>What next: tie in Police Volunteer better to support community safety ASB context which will feed into the Places panel.</i></p> <p><i>Challenges: Police Data Sets do not work as well as historically to inform ASB deliver in it's broadest context which incorporates lower level crime. Raised centrally but we need to consider raising to the ASB Taskforce potentially...</i></p> <p><i>Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)</i></p>
Output 2	<i>Police investment in the vulnerability operational group refresh to ensure that repeat hate crime and that repeat ASB is proactively scrutinised from appropriate data sets and VOG referrals are proactively requested from an appropriate partner to mitigate the risk of gaps.</i>	low	<p><i>Challenges: The data set challenge above is the greatest risk together with the volume to effectively scan and prioritise cases for the VOG outside of those that are referred in by Partners.</i></p> <p><i>What Next: There are some gaps in the VOG membership which some investment strategically in driving would be appreciated.</i></p> <p><i>Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)</i></p>
Output 3	<i>Investment into the midmapping and the partnership names for the soon to be established PLACES panel to agree prioritisation across the partnership of cases where low level crime and ASB is impacting in public spaces for the community.</i>	low	<p><i>Next Steps: First meeting to be established and a mechanism to prioritise cases and to pass others back for single agency or activity outside of PLACES.</i></p> <p><i>Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)</i></p>
Output 4	<i>Police Engagement with tools for early intervention: 1. – School Charter visits and relationship between PCSO's and Schools. 2. Youth Crime Prevention Referrals to the YOT for early intervention. 3. Training on Early Help Hub and rejuvenation of Police investment in cases to prevent escalation to Tier 4. 4. Establishment of Child Centered Policing SPOCs on neighbourhood teams</i>	High	<p><i>Strengths are that these areas of business are fairly strong. Small gaps have been identified and highlighted and mechisms through police SLT and central police teams to hold to account.</i></p> <p><i>Challenges: Increasing investigation Police workload and casefile load in Police Neighbourhood teams. Early Intervention on ASB is something we will increasingly find challenging to deliver on due to competing demands.</i></p> <p><i>Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)</i></p>
In year impact	<i>Insert any relevant data to show trends, decreases, increases etc and whether there has been an improvement</i>		

PRIORITIES	
Priority	Serious Violence including knife/blade offences and robbery
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<p><i>The threat of serious organised crime especially related to the exploitation of children is a county priority. County Lines and organised gangs are known to be operating within the Safer North Hampshire area and there are strong links to drug related harm and serious violence. While figures and overall weapons offences are relatively low, the number of people found to be carrying a bladed weapon, using them in certain offences and robbery have all risen. It should be a priority for the CSP to identify and work with vulnerable individuals at an early stage to prevent the occurrence of higher harm offences and work with this cohort to educate them and reduce the risk of future offences.</i></p>

Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.

Partner to complete

Output 1	Strong management of exploitation - criminal and sexual for our young people, these are young people frequently without effective protective structures and police process monthly connected to the Planning meeting allows for us to scan for cases that may have been missed for referral to specialist services for assessment and early intervention. The Police Inspectors are held to account for delivery of these areas through the monthly tactical meeting which is strong on child centered policing.	High	Strong grip on this area of business. Recent change of High Harm Sergeant for fresh pair of eyes at the challenges of diverting those on the periphery of drug networks and liable to exploitation. Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
Output 2	We currently have been unable to resource a High Harm team in Hart and Rushmoor to dedicate resource to this priority area. We currently deliver activity on this and other High Harm areas such as domestic abuse perpetrators through other local policing functions. This model currently is due to resourcing challenges and the need to bring on a very inexperienced local policing team to learn from their colleagues from the HC uplift in new police officers. Ambition will be to establish a high harm team locally.	Low	No dedicated resource for this significant crime area. The ambition is to deliver a dedicated resource but timing of this change is key. Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
Output 3	Tasking on intelligence through daily management hearings and activity through tactical planning meeting to use intelligence with appropriate interventions.	Medium	Effiveness: Delivered broadly well but we have to prioritise and we can't respond to everything we would want to in this significant area of business. The intelligence command and local command processes ensure that the incidents of greatest risk have a strong policing response. Assets – very strong internal partnership with CID, Response and Patrol and specialist functions especially – one of the strengths of Hart and Rushmoor compared to other districts. Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
Output 4	Partner to complete	Impact	Partner to complete. SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
In year impact	Insert any relevant data to show trends, decreases, increases etc and whether there has been an improvement		

PRIORITIES

Priority

Crimes with historically low levels of reporting

**Strategic
Assessment
Narrative**

This covers hate crime, domestic abuse, sexual offences, child abuse and fraud. These are all commonly repeat offences with potentially long-term impacts on mental health and wider behavioural issues. The CSP should be looking to understand any barriers to reporting, why they exist and implementing any processes to reduce or remove them as well as promoting reporting mechanisms.

Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.

Partner to complete

Output 1

Rachael Wilkinson and I have devised a Violence Against Women and Girls Action Plan for CSP area. Varied interventions, some already in delivery phase, others more aspirational.

Medium

Challenges: Coordinated decisions for grant funding applications for programmes such as Active bystanders to sexual harassment and disrespectful behaviour towards women.

Strengths; Coordination with Licensing is very strong on delivery of interventions in high time economy which is very well managed in partnership.

Gaps: The StreetSafe home officer website has been underused by the population and needs a more pulled together perspective on its use.

Strength: Police Business Plan covers VAWG for Hart and Rushmoor and through the daily management meetings we have prioritised sexual harassment, assault and indecent exposure investigations to provide victim care, contact and strong investigation delivery as well as mitigating community concerns through effective action

Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)

Output 2

Modern Day Slavery

Low

Currently I do not believe that the partnership structures are strong enough with community groups to effectively gain intelligence and assess risk for Modern Day Slavery. This is an identified gap. With a diverse and growing population we need a shared strategy to effectively map, engage, establish trust and generate intelligence on this hidden high harm crime area.

Strengths: Opportunities have been taken to act on intelligence when it is received in this area with positive results to safeguard sex workers and those used to garden cannabis factories.

Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)

Output 3

Hate Crime

High

Delivered well in Inspection during the last year and confident in Police processes for scrutiny and supervision and victim care. Little repeat hate crime occurs by someone known to the victim and where it has happened it has been referred to VOG for partnership response.

Delivery at Hate Crime Awareness week was very strong with a partnership and

			<p>communications plan for delivery, which went well.</p> <p>Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)</p>
Output 4	Domestic Abuse and Child Abuse	Medium	<p>I cannot comment extensively on Child Abuse evidence other than to say I am confident in the processes and in the relationships to ensure that these serious cases get the attention they need.</p> <p>For Domestic Abuse we have been inspected recently and came out favourably with complements for the child-centered approach for our response teams delivery and our referrals to partnership teams. We have established a DA Perpetrator panel in the last year ahead of most areas in the force and we are delivering intervention, catch, and convict activity to disrupt those most serious cases. We as a District team engage with the MARAC partnership processes.</p> <p>Challenges; our decision when to arrest and when not to arrest is not at the required level at this time. The response teams know this and we have plans, scrutiny, accountability and passion to deliver an improvement in this area. Experience tells us that arrest to allow time for interventions, victim engagement, partnership scanning, civil order consideration and safeguarding will provide better outcomes. We will do better over the coming year.</p> <p>Challenges: Length of service of a very inexperienced local policing structure. We have the least experienced local policing Police Constables in HIOW between our neighbourhood and response teams combined. This work is complex, required layered CPD, has no easy wins, lives in nuance and has stacks of harm. This is a tall task but we have the commitment to continually improve and we have absolutely maximised partnership participation through the MARAC and the VOG and commissioned services to help us deliver this.</p> <p>Gaps: strategic support with health partnership around key areas including domestic abuse partnership would be appreciated to increase effectiveness of delivery.</p> <p>Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)</p>
In year impact	Insert any relevant data to show trends, decreases, increases etc and whether there has been an improvement		

Date	
Signature	

This page is intentionally left blank

PRIORITY FEEDBACK TEMPLATE

PARTNER INFORMATION

AGENCY	British Army – Aldershot Garrison
NAME	Lt Col Nick Burley
ROLE	Aldershot Garrison

Please explain HOW the partnership has assisted your agency to achieve the priorities of our CSP and any individual organisational objectives.

Benefits. The CSP has enabled Aldershot Garrison based Service Personnel (SP) to better understand issues affecting civilians in our immediate areas of work and accommodation. This has helped us to integrate with the community we live in, assist appropriately with known and emerging issues and ensure we do our part in making the wider communities safer and mutually supportive. Integration of the military into wider society and the wider community is an Armed Forces – Firm Base, priority and allows us to support and understand each other.

Liaison. CSP has given us points of contact and a forum to discuss concerns and issues and an opportunity to learn from and share best practice with specific areas of expertise notably in domestic abuse where training has been given by CSP.

We recruit from and bring people to the local area from different regions of the UK and foreign countries to serve for the British Army. This can bring with it challenges of different cultures and sometimes language barriers, all of which can cause family and community stress. Although we have trained Unit Welfare Officers (UWO) and the Army Welfare Service (AWS) we are users of local welfare services and need to ensure we use them only when appropriate to do so.

PRIORITIES

Priority	Anti-Social Behaviour, including youth related incidents and neighbour nuisance
Strategic Assessment Narrative	Anti-social behaviour remains a concern for communities, individuals and businesses. Both youth related anti-social behaviour and neighbour nuisance anti-social behaviour accounted for a significant proportion of incidents and were widespread across the area. Although the CSP currently has some effective mechanisms in place, early intervention with young people and proactivity in neighbour disputes are key in reducing the number of higher harm offences and more severe neighbour nuisance issues.

Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.

General. Most of the Service Personnel based at Aldershot live in Service Family Accommodation (SFA). SFA areas tend to be large housing estates and the residents are made very aware of their responsibilities to the military and wider community. This is managed through the military Unit Welfare Officers and Chain of Command.

Community Development Workers. The Army continues to support their families' children using Army Welfare Service Community Development Workers who organise Youth Clubs and summer holiday breaks for children.

Resource. Garrison Community centres are made available at very low cost for all Service Families and members of the local community to use.

			<p>community feel.</p> <p>Weakness – Will not operate in all areas</p> <p>Opportunities – An opportunity for military and civilian families to work together to help prevent/deter robbery/crime.</p> <p>SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat</p> <p>Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)</p>
Output 2	<p>Military Security Patrols.</p> <p>This is a centrally controlled patrol who can respond to calls from military families and where appropriate call the civilian Police.</p>	Impact	<p>Strength – Families feel safer. Police feel supported.</p> <p>Weakness – Needs to be regular and consistent.</p> <p>Opportunities - Crime can be reported through the QRF patrols.</p> <p>Threat – Decrease in SFA areas may mean criminals may target other areas.</p>
Output 3	<p>Youth Clubs.</p> <p>Use of garrison facilities for children's activities</p>	Impact	<p>Strength – More to do for children and a focus on community. Open to Civilian and Military children.</p> <p>Weakness – Understaffed for size of community</p> <p>Opportunities – Could be used for positive messaging.</p>
Output 4	<p>Continuance of parking restrictions in Service Family Accommodation areas.</p> <p>To reduce blocking of roads by illegally parked cars which could lead to reduced access for emergency services</p>	Impact	<p>Strength – Families feel more secure as areas will be patrolled by traffic wardens who can observe and report anti-social behaviour when on patrol.</p> <p>Weakness – Parking problems moved to other areas. However, there is Council carparks available.</p>
In year impact	No data collected		

PRIORITIES			
Priority	Serious Violence including knife/blade offences and robbery		
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<i>The threat of serious organised crime especially related to the exploitation of children is a county priority. County Lines and organised gangs are known to be operating within the Safer North Hampshire area and there are strong links to drug related harm and serious violence. While figures and overall weapons offences are relatively low, the number of people found to be carrying a bladed weapon, using them in certain offences and robbery have all risen. It should be a priority for the CSP to identify and work with vulnerable individuals at an early stage to prevent the occurrence of higher harm offences and work with this cohort to educate them and reduce the risk of future offences.</i>		
Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.			
<i>Education. Aldershot Garrison conducts community meet and greets and Health Fairs on the Garrison for the wider community to attend. This will continue and will have stands which cover all types of personal and family safety.</i>			
<i>Liaison. Local Authority, Police and other safety organisations use the event to educate and advertise the community.</i>			
<i>We do not consider this to be an issue within the military families’ community but aim to work with CSP to proactively eliminate the problem.</i>			
Output 1	Education through meet and greets and Health Fairs, leaflets and posters.	Impact	Strength – Confidence to discuss issues. Disciplined personnel who understand dangers to educate children. Weakness – We are not aware of the wider

Page 56

Page 56

			community problems in the local area. Opportunities – Share knowledge with local experts. Threat – Hidden danger
Output 2			
Output 3			
Output 4			
In year impact	No data collected.		

PRIORITIES			
Priority	Crimes with historically low levels of reporting		
Strategic Assessment Narrative	This covers hate crime, domestic abuse, sexual offences, child abuse and fraud. These are all commonly repeat offences with potentially long-term impacts on mental health and wider behavioural issues. The CSP should be looking to understand any barriers to reporting, why they exist and implementing any processes to reduce or remove them as well as promoting reporting mechanisms.		
Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.			
<p>Education. Aldershot Garrison conducts community meet and greets and Health Fairs on the Garrison for the wider community to attend. This will continue and will have stands which cover all types of personal and family safety.</p> <p>Liaison. Local Authority, Police and other safety organisations use the event to educate and advertise the community.</p> <p>We do not consider this to be an issue within the military families' community but aim to work with CSP to proactively eliminate the problem.</p>			
Output 1	Education through meet and greets and Health Fairs, leaflets and posters.	Impact	<p>Strength – Confidence to discuss issues. Disciplined personnel who understand dangers to educate children.</p> <p>Weakness – We are not aware of the wider community problems in the local area.</p> <p>Opportunities – Share knowledge with local experts.</p> <p>Threat – Hidden danger</p>
Output 2			
Output 3			
Output 4			
In year impact	No data is collected.		

Date	18 May 2022
Signature	N Burley

This page is intentionally left blank

PRIORITY FEEDBACK TEMPLATE

PARTNER INFORMATION

AGENCY

Clinical Commissioning Group

NAME

Jessica Berry

ROLE

Senior Commissioner

Please explain HOW the partnership has assisted your agency to achieve the priorities of our CSP and any individual organisational objectives.

Partner to complete

PRIORITIES

Priority

Anti-Social Behaviour, including youth related incidents and neighbour nuisance

Strategic
Assessment
Narrative

Anti-social behaviour remains a concern for communities, individuals and businesses. Both youth related anti-social behaviour and neighbour nuisance anti-social behaviour accounted for a significant proportion of incidents and were widespread across the area. Although the CSP currently has some effective mechanisms in place, early intervention with young people and proactivity in neighbour disputes are key in reducing the number of higher harm offences and more severe neighbour nuisance issues.

Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.

Work with Community Safety Partnership and Social Inclusion Partnership to understand this cohort and any overlap with individuals known to be high users of emergency health services.

Output 1

*Reduction in ambulance call outs and
Emergency Services attendances*

Impact

Partner to complete.

*SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities,
Threat*

Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)

Output 2

Partner to complete

Impact

Partner to complete.

*SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities,
Threat*

Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)

Output 3

Partner to complete

Impact

Partner to complete.

SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities,

			<i>Threat</i> <i>Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)</i>
Output 4	<i>Partner to complete</i>	Impact	<i>Partner to complete.</i> <i>SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat</i> <i>Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)</i>
In year impact	<i>Insert any relevant data to show trends, decreases, increases etc and whether there has been an improvement</i>		

PRIORITIES			
Priority	Serious Violence including knife/blade offences and robbery		
Strategic Assessment Narrative	The threat of serious organised crime especially related to the exploitation of children is a county priority. County Lines and organised gangs are known to be operating within the Safer North Hampshire area and there are strong links to drug related harm and serious violence. While figures and overall weapons offences are relatively low, the number of people found to be carrying a bladed weapon, using them in certain offences and robbery have all risen. It should be a priority for the CSP to identify and work with vulnerable individuals at an early stage to prevent the occurrence of higher harm offences and work with this cohort to educate them and reduce the risk of future offences.		
Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.			
Promotion of Mutual Gain event			
Output 1	Reduce crime in Oakridge	Impact	Partner to complete. SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
Output 2	Partner to complete	Impact	Partner to complete. SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
Output 3	Partner to complete	Impact	Partner to complete. SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)
Output 4	Partner to complete	Impact	Partner to complete. SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)

Page 60

Page 60

In year impact	<i>Insert any relevant data to show trends, decreases, increases etc and whether there has been an improvement</i>		

PRIORITIES			
Priority	Crimes with historically low levels of reporting		
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<i>This covers hate crime, domestic abuse, sexual offences, child abuse and fraud. These are all commonly repeat offences with potentially long-term impacts on mental health and wider behavioural issues. The CSP should be looking to understand any barriers to reporting, why they exist and implementing any processes to reduce or remove them as well as promoting reporting mechanisms.</i>		
Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.			
<i>N/A</i>			
Output 1		Impact	<i>Partner to complete.</i> <i>SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat</i> <i>Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)</i>
Output 2	<i>Partner to complete</i>	Impact	<i>Partner to complete.</i> <i>SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat</i> <i>Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)</i>
Output 3	<i>Partner to complete</i>	Impact	<i>Partner to complete.</i> <i>SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat</i> <i>Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)</i>
Output 4	<i>Partner to complete</i>	Impact	<i>Partner to complete.</i> <i>SWOT – Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threat</i> <i>Any supporting statistics (% reductions etc)</i>
In year impact	<i>Insert any relevant data to show trends, decreases, increases etc and whether there has been an improvement</i>		

Date	19.0422
Signature	J Berry

This page is intentionally left blank

PRIORITY FEEDBACK TEMPLATE

PARTNER INFORMATION

AGENCY	Hampshire and Isle of Wight Fire and Rescue Service
NAME	Ryan Thurman
ROLE	Group Commander

Please explain HOW the partnership has assisted your agency to achieve the priorities of our CSP and any individual organisational objectives.

Working together to achieve shared objectives and priorities is often the best way to tackle the issues with each agency being able to play their part in delivering the outcomes. Hampshire Fire and Rescue Service (HFRS) have supported the partnership for many years but our involvement is somewhat limited in some of the priority areas, due solely to the fact that they are not directly our core business. However, where there is no obvious direct link, there are often opportunities to link the CSP priority to support aspects of our own organisational objectives, as detailed below.

PRIORITIES

Priority	Anti-Social Behaviour, including youth related incidents and neighbour nuisance
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<i>Anti-social behaviour remains a concern for communities, individuals and businesses. Both youth related anti-social behaviour and neighbour nuisance anti-social behaviour accounted for a significant proportion of incidents and were widespread across the area. Although the CSP currently has some effective mechanisms in place, early intervention with young people and proactivity in neighbour disputes are key in reducing the number of higher harm offences and more severe neighbour nuisance issues.</i>

Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.

This is not a key priority for HIWFRS. There is a link to deliberate fires and road safety. Road safety data relating to a reduction in deaths and serious injuries is more accurately recorded by the Police.

Various activities have been undertaken to reduce the incidents of deliberate fires through our schools education team, social media and wider media campaigns, targeted campaigns in areas receiving repeat incidents, etc..

Output 1	<i>Reduction in deliberate fires</i>	Impact	<i>Number of deliberate fires in North Group for 20/21 was 149, a reduction of 14%.</i>
Output 2	<i>N/A</i>	Impact	<i>N/A</i>
Output 3	<i>N/A</i>	Impact	<i>N/A</i>
Output 4	<i>N/A</i>	Impact	<i>N/A</i>
In year impact	<i>N/A</i>		

Priority	Serious Violence including knife/blade offences and robbery		
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<p><i>The threat of serious organised crime especially related to the exploitation of children is a county priority. County Lines and organised gangs are known to be operating within the Safer North Hampshire area and there are strong links to drug related harm and serious violence. While figures and overall weapons offences are relatively low, the number of people found to be carrying a bladed weapon, using them in certain offences and robbery have all risen. It should be a priority for the CSP to identify and work with vulnerable individuals at an early stage to prevent the occurrence of higher harm offences and work with this cohort to educate them and reduce the risk of future offences.</i></p>		
<p>Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.</p>			
<p><i>This is not a key priority for HIWFRS and we will not get involved in this work.</i></p>			
Output 1	<i>N/A</i>	Impact	<i>N/A</i>
Output 2	<i>N/A</i>	Impact	<i>N/A</i>
Output 3	<i>N/A</i>	Impact	<i>N/A</i>
Output 4	<i>N/A</i>	Impact	<i>N/A</i>
In year impact	<i>N/A</i>		

PRIORITIES			
Priority	Crimes with historically low levels of reporting		
Strategic Assessment Narrative	<p><i>This is not generally a key priority for HIWFRS. There is a link to our Safe and Well Visit strategy where our Community Safety Officers (CSOs) link into the Community Safety teams through the Vulnerability Operations Group. Visits will be conducted by our CSOs or operational crews. Additional items can be provided as necessary inc fire proof letter boxes, flame retardant bedding, etc..</i></p> <p><i>Where our teams identify Domestic Abuse through a general visit or other areas of operational activity, we will Safeguard through our normal channels to raise this issue.</i></p>		
<p>Insert below how you worked in partnership over 2021/22 to tackle the above priority, include details of any specific projects or partners involved.</p>			
<p><i>As above</i></p>			
Output 1	<i>Number of Safe and Well Visits completed</i>	Impact	<i>1,498 Safe and Well Visits completed in North Group during 21/22. Unable to identify how many of these Safe and Well visits will have domestic abuse as a factor.</i>
Output 2	<i>N/A</i>	Impact	<i>N/A</i>
Output 3	<i>N/A</i>	Impact	<i>N/A</i>
Output 4	<i>N/A</i>	Impact	<i>N/A</i>
In year impact	<i>Insert any relevant data to show trends, decreases, increases etc and whether there has been an improvement</i>		

Date	22 April 2022
Signature	R Thurman

Minutes of the Crime and Disorder Joint Scrutiny Committee meeting held on Wednesday, 29 June 2022 in Council Chamber - Deanes, Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council at 6.30 pm

Members of the Crime and Disorder Joint Scrutiny Committee in attendance: ,
Councillor M Howard-Sorrell and Councillor S Jeans (Basingstoke and Deane BC)
Councillors D Bedford, K Dibble, L Jeffers (substitute for M Smith) (Rushmoor BC)
Councillors P Wildsmith, M Butcher (Hart DC)

Also Present:

Daniel White	Community Support Manager (Basingstoke and Deane BC)
David Lipscombe	Community Safety Manager (Rushmoor BC)
Debbie Mason	Community Safety Team Leader (Basingstoke and Deane BC)
Rachael Wilkinson	Community Safety Manager (Hart DC)
Kirsten Tromen	Temporary District Commander – Hart and Rushmoor, Hampshire Constabulary
Scott Johnson	District Commander – Basingstoke, Hampshire Constabulary
Nick Burley	Aldershot Garrison Commander
Alex Jones	North West Team Manager, Hampshire Youth Offending Team
Jessica Berry	Senior Commissioner, Hampshire and Isle of Wight Clinical Commissioning Group
Councillor Jenny Vaux	Cabinet Member for Partnerships (Basingstoke and Deane BC)
Samantha Charlton	Community Wellbeing Manager (Basingstoke and Deane BC)
James Knight	Place Protection Service Manager (Rushmoor BC)
Councillor Maurice Sheehan	Deputy Leader and Operational Services Portfolio Holder (Rushmoor)
Councillor Stuart Bailey	Portfolio Holder for Community (Hart DC)
Councillor Andrea Bowes	Ward Councillor for Brighton Hill (Basingstoke and Deane BC)

1 Appointment of Chair

Councillor Dibble was elected as Chair for the 2022/23 municipal year.

2 Apologies for absence and substitutions

Apologies were received from:

Councillor Edwards (Basingstoke and Deane BC)

Councillor Butler (Hart DC)
Councillor Smith replaced by Councillor Jeffers (Rushmoor BC)

3 **Declarations of Interest**

There were no declarations of interest.

4 **Urgent Matters**

There were no urgent matters.

5 **Minutes of the meeting held on 29 June 2021**

The minutes of the meeting held on 29 June 2021 hosted by Hart District Council were confirmed as a correct record.

6 **Performance Review of Safer North Hampshire Strategic Community Safety Partnership 2021-2022**

The committee considered the report which provided an overview of the performance of the Safer North Hampshire Strategic Community Safety Partnership (CSP).

The Community Support Manager from Basingstoke and Deane BC presented the report on behalf of the Chair of the Safer North Hampshire Strategic Community Safety Partnership and provided a summary of the partnership work and the priorities of the partnership during 2021/22:

- Anti-Social behaviour, including youth related anti-social behaviour and neighbour related anti-social behaviour
- Knife and blade related incidents with a specific focus on robbery
- Crimes with historically low levels of reporting which included domestic crime, hate crime and violence against women and girls

It was important to recognise the work of the partnership had been impacted by the Covid-19 pandemic with periods of restrictions on working and socialising which had impacted on crime and disorder trends and shifting priorities of partners.

Overall recorded crime across the Safer North Hampshire area increased by 21% compared to 2020/21 but a 3% reduction compared to 2019/20.

The Chair invited the ward councillor for Brighton Hill, Councillor Bowes, to address the committee. Concern was raised regarding residents not feeling safe at night largely due to the fear of anti-social behaviour such as incidents involving public drug misuse, intimidating behaviour, graffiti and stealing parcels from doorsteps. Car meets and the effect on residents was also raised as an issue. It was considered that no workable solution had been found to the problem and it was suggested there should be a properly resourced plan incorporating enforcement action and publication of enforcement to highlight the risks of becoming involved.

The District Commander for Basingstoke acknowledged the concerns, highlighted the actions in place to respond to the issues and would continue dialogue with the ward councillors.

The Community Support Manager from Basingstoke and Deane BC thanked Councillor Bowes for raising the issues and agreed to engage further with ward councillors to develop an action plan to work together to help to resolve the issues.

The committee were reminded of the Community Safety Partnership Plan for 2020-2023 and asked to relate their discussions to the achievements of the plan. Partner agencies were invited to present details of their achievements and activities in relation to the priorities of the Partnership Plan.

Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council

The Community Support Manager reflected on the work of the Community Safety Team and Community Safety Patrol Officers (CSPO) who provide high visibility patrols and raise community awareness in relation to anti-social behaviour. They had dealt with 1422 incidents during the year and issued 73 warning notices. The anti-social behaviour panel had been well attended by partner agencies and referrals received were discussed to formulate a strategy to resolve issues. A shared data analyst across the Safer North Hampshire area assisted with mapping to identify problem areas. A Public Space Protection Order had been implemented to tackle alcohol related nuisance in the town centre and surrounding open space areas and two Community Triggers had been activated and resolved through partnership working. Feedback from residents where anti-social behaviour interventions had been made were positive.

Regarding serious violence, it was reported that the Community Safety Team and CSPO's provided evidence to the police and partner agencies in relation to a gang injunction, were working with other agencies to tackle youth violence and contributed to the development of a strategy for violence against women and girls. Basingstoke BC attend the Prevent Partnership Board and participated in the Channel Panel and OP Met and contributed to other police and children's services led operations.

In relation to the priority regarding crimes with low levels of reporting the Community Support Manager reported that Basingstoke BC enabled the Domestic Abuse Forum meetings which had been well attended by agencies and successful in sharing best practice and campaigns. To tackle hate crime, a working group attended by partners had been set up to raise awareness and share best practice. A Domestic Homicide Review was also ongoing.

In response to comment made regarding youth offending and more focus on protecting vulnerable youths it was confirmed that development work was taking place with a range of partners to the approach and recognition of risks particularly related to youth violence and exploitation.

Concern was raised regarding residents feeling safe in the town centre at night and streetlights being switched off after a certain time at night. It was confirmed that the feeling of safety would formulate some of the partnership priorities during the next

year.

Hart District Council

The Community Safety Manager from Hart discussed work to raise awareness of anti-social behaviour and encourage reporting through community engagement events. 668 incidents were reported during the year, a majority dealt with at source and some through partnership working. It was reported there were two successful closure orders, two successful anti-social behaviour injunctions and two Community Triggers. Communication and regular engagement with the police, partners and across local authority services had been positive. The Vulnerabilities Operational Group involved case discussion, action plan implementation and improvement to partnership working for the best outcome for individuals. A significant amount of ASB early intervention work had been carried out with schools, Neighbourhood Policing Team, and housing associations, with positive results.

A lot of awareness work had been carried out with schools and youth clubs in relation to violence, knife crime and robbery. An interactive experience offering to secondary schools to introduce the topic of exploitation had been positive although there had been some reservation from some schools involving younger year groups. Engagement with local youth groups had been positive.

The Domestic Abuse Forum was held quarterly with good attendance of organisations and agencies represented and interesting speakers which had promoted information sharing, best practice and networking. A project run by Fleet Phoenix had been set up to offer junior school age children who had been involved or witnessed domestic abuse, therapeutic support. Positive feedback had been received from the families supported.

Communications and messaging about hate crime had been promoted during Hate Crime Awareness Week.

It was suggested there should be an increased focus on Fleet Central due to increased ASB. Difficulty in reporting using the 101 service was also a concern.

The officer and District Commander for Hart and Rushmoor responded to the comments advising of the importance to encourage reporting so that problem areas could be targeted and the variety of mechanisms other than 101 to report crime such as online and the QR code. It was recognised that improvements needed to be made to the 101 service. Councillors were requested to try online reporting or the QR code and assist in getting the message out to communities to encourage reporting.

It was suggested that a breakdown of the types of anti-social behaviour would be useful to identify how much anti-social behaviour was attributed to youths or adults as there was a perception that most anti-social behaviour was due to groups of youths.

Comment was made about receiving feedback from reporting incidents so there was recognition that the incident had been logged or action was being taken which would

encourage more reporting.

Councillors were advised that a police engagement newsletter had recently been set up which would provide a summary of themes in the area and promote connectivity and two-way engagement.

In response to concern regarding engagement of schools it was confirmed that several schools had been engaged in the Think Safe Project.

Rushmoor Borough Council

The Community Safety Manager from Rushmoor provided a summary of the key areas of work undertaken over the year. A key piece of work had been the Vulnerability Operational Group (VOG) where a couple of referrals were managed each month. There had been an increase in neighbour nuisance, particularly related to cannabis use, however a warning letter process had proved effective. There had been an increase in community patrols to target problem areas due to anti-social behaviour. The Community Trigger process had also been refreshed and promoted to make it clearer for residents. Car meets had been an issue but with partnership working, targeting areas and increased security of car parks, there had been a reduction in the number of car meets. A process for public space protection orders had also been introduced in relation to alcohol use in Aldershot town centre. Fixed penalty notices and confiscation of alcohol would be implemented shortly. A survey for residents would be developed in relation to community safety issues to get an understanding of issues for residents. Youth work was an area that was lacking so any assistance or opportunities to move the work forward would be welcome.

Officers had contributed to the Hampshire Violence Reduction Unit meetings and a local High Harm Reduction Group had been established to target violence at a local level. In relation to violence to women and girls, data was analysed to look at where improvements and action could be taken such as HCC street lighting.

Key communications and promotion of key areas had been undertaken throughout the year such as White Ribbon Day, Mental Health Awareness and Anti-social Behaviour week, to raise awareness and encourage reporting. A comprehensive programme of safeguarding training was in place for staff and councillors.

In response to questions regarding reviewing statistics and partnership working the officer confirmed that the loss of Safety Net had not had an impact on partnership working due to strong partner relationships. Uniform database would be used to record data in the future.

The issue of reporting crime through 101 was raised. The work of the Police Community Support Officers (PCSO) was praised particularly in relation to the support they provide to councillors and knowledge sharing. The importance of encouraging reporting was reiterated.

Comments were made regarding the strong working relationship between partners and the success that partnership working brings across the local authority areas. The importance of early intervention was acknowledged. It was suggested that

Hampshire County Council be approached to review their policy regarding youth provision.

Hampshire Constabulary – Basingstoke and Deane

The District Commander for Basingstoke discussed the priorities of the Hampshire Constabulary in the Basingstoke and Deane area. Crime rates in the area were lower than the general trend which was positive with reductions in serious violence. All classifications of crime were within controlled limits however there was an increase in anti-social behaviour, vehicle crime and sexual offences although they remained low. Tackling serious youth violence, county lines, drug related harm, domestic abuse and anti-social behaviour were priorities of the constabulary. A street gang injunction had positive results in reducing robbery and knife enabled crime. Contribution to the Hate Crime Working Group was positive to bring partners together to collaborate to discuss issues and seek solutions.

The District Commander responded to concerns raised regarding young vulnerable people being drawn into crime, county lines, the increase in youths carrying knives and increase in electric scooter use. A partnership approach, resources in action plans and engaging with schools was key to managing knife crime. A Stop and Search advocacy scheme had been launched and rolled out to colleges in the area to provide a safe space to have conversations around Stop and Search concerns. It was explained that Stop and Search was a power that should be used appropriately and sparingly. The approach to e-scooters was to issue a warning on the first occasion, subsequent offending would result in the scooter being seized, however the approach was proportionate and the use of e-scooters in the future was a wider agenda.

Hampshire Constabulary – Hart and Rushmoor

The T/District Commander for Hart and Rushmoor discussed the priorities of the Hampshire Constabulary in the Hart and Rushmoor areas. The importance of partnership working was reiterated with regular daily meetings with Community Safety leads to discuss and respond to recent issues. The refresh of the VOG and new Places Panel would make a difference to prioritising and developing action plans with a partnership approach to look at location based issues to tackle anti-social behaviour. The relationship between Community Support Officers and schools was a strength with school charter visits and patrol plans that sit alongside. Training and investment in the Early Help Hub was key to early intervention. The work to manage exploitation of young people was positive and worked well for assessment and early intervention. Domestic abuse perpetrator work had been successful to deliver intervention, catch and convict activity.

Responding to comments regarding victim contact it was acknowledged that responding and providing feedback to victims of crime needed improvement. A victim contract and needs assessment was in place but additional scrutiny work was required to improve the feedback mechanism.

It was commented that the rising cost of living could have an adverse impact on domestic violence. It was also suggested that facilitated organised car meets could

be an option to tackle the issue with car meets in inappropriate places. Assurance was given that the constabulary did have strategic oversight of car meets but it was a suggestion that could be considered.

Bike crime was raised as an issue with many incidents being unreported. It was acknowledged that promoting reporting was key to collect intelligence and target the right areas.

Aldershot Garrison

It was reported that crime was quite low. The networking hubs were a great tool and reporting of crime was being encouraged. Speeding lorries in the northern estates was an issue to residents. A review of the roads was being looked at to implement a road narrowing scheme to reduce access and speed. Car meets had been taking place on the Wellington Memorial Car Park however locking the car park at night had been enforced to remedy the issue.

It was clarified that PCSO's patrol the estates rather than military police. It was also acknowledged that improvements and better recognition was required regarding domestic violence.

Hampshire and Isle of Wight Clinical Commissioning Group

The main focus had been the covid-19 pandemic and vaccine rollout but in relation to the CSP, work had been in place to understand high users of emergency health services and mapping where there may be an overlap with other cohorts so that information and resources could be shared to provide wrap around support to an individual. The Mutual Gain Improvement Programme had been successful, positive community engagement was a good way to promote health.

Hampshire Fire and Rescue Service

No representatives were present at the meeting.

Hampshire Youth Offending Team

The representative left the meeting at 8pm.

The Community Support Manager from Basingstoke provided a summary of the key themes discussed:

- The importance of promoting and encouraging reporting of crime particularly in relation to anti-social behaviour.
- Recognition of the strength of the partnership work that takes place.
- Fear of crime and feeling safe particularly after dark and how the partnership could address some of the issues with a focus on violence against women and girls.
- Focus on anti-social behaviour and recognition of the importance to residents.
- Early intervention particularly in relation to young people.

Resolved:

That the committee is satisfied with the performance of the Strategic CSP in relation to the discharge of its statutory functions.

The meeting ended at 8.59 pm.

Chair